ISGAN Project Annex 3 BENEFIT & COST ANALYSES AND TOOLKITS

Final Report

AJOU UNIVERSITY

February 2015

International Smart Grid Association Network (ISGAN)

Primary Investigator: Suduk Kim (Professor, Ajou University)

Researcher:

Jaeick Oh (Professor, Ajou University) Eunju Min (Ph.D. Course Student, Ajou University) Zulfikar Yurnaidi (Ph.D. Course Student, Ajou University) Minho Baek (Ph.D. Course Student, Ajou University) Minyoung Roh (Master Course Student, Ajou University) Seungho Jeon (Master Course Student, Ajou University) Juhwan Oh (Intern, Ajou University)

Graphic Design: Heera Kim (GreenAD Wraps Korea co., LTD.)

List of Contents	
List of Figures	5
List of Tables	7
Introduction and Executive Summary	1
Task I: Assess Current Network Maturity Model and Update data	
I.1 Questionnaire of ISGAN'S Annex 3: Chronology	3
I.2 Current Status of Questionnaire of ISGAN'S Annex 3: As of Dec. 1 st , 2014	4
Task II: Analyze Current Benefit-Cost Analytical Methodologies and Tools	
Subtask 2.2: Model research to overcome limit of current BCA frameworks and tools	6
II.1 Overview: Smart Grid BCA Frameworks	6
II.1.1 Smart Grid Forum (SGF) of UK	6
II.1.2 BCA analysis of Smart Grid by Frontier Economics	7
II.1.3 BCA analysis of Smart Grid by JRC, EU	10
II.1.4 BCA analysis of Smart Grid by McKinsey and Company	12
II.1.5 Smart Grid Investment Model (SGIM) of SGRC	13
II.1.6 United States: Smart Grid Consumer Collaborative (SGCC)	15
II.2 Summary of BCA Frameworks and Application Cases	17
II.3 Smart Grid Computational Tool (SGCT)	21
II.3.1 Overview of SGCT	21
II.3.2 Steps of SGCT	22
II.3.3 Detailed Steps of SGCT	23
II.3.4 Overall Architecture of SGCT	27
II.3.4.1 Project Characterization Module (PCM)	28
II.3.4.2 Data Input Module (DIM)	30
II.3.4.3 Computational Module (CM)	35
Task III: Development of Toolkits to Evaluate Benefit-Costs	37
III.1 Development of Simplified Cost-Benefit Analysis Tool	37
III.1.1 Overview	37
III.1.2 Detailed Architecture of DIM in Replicated Tool Kit	37
III.1.3 A Brief Comparison to SGCT and our program	38
III.1.3.1 Comparison of PCM in SGCT and our program	39
III.1.3.2 Comparison of DIM in SGCT and our program	
III.1.3.3 Comparison of CM in SGCT and our program	44
III.2 Calculation of Benefit	45
III.2.1 Optimized Generator Operation	45
III.2.2 Deferred Generation Capacity Investments	46
III.2.3 Reduced Ancillary Service Cost	46

List of Contents

Appendix: Default Values for DOE Smart Grid Computational Tool	89
References	85
III.4.6 Detailed Cost Representation	
III.4.4 Generation Program Modelling III.4.5 Integration with Qualitative Assessment	
III.4.3 Load Curve Modelling	
III.4.2 Smart Grid Scenario: Socioeconomics, Technical, and Regulatory Context	
III.4.1 Overview	
III.4 Expansion of Smart Grid Computational Tool	
III.3.2 Present Valuation of Cost in Replicated Tool Kit	
III.3.1 Present Valuation of Cost in SGCT	
III.3 Calculation of Cost	74
III.2.25 Summary of the Benefit, Functions, Input Parameters and Monetization of Benefit	66
Conservation Voltage Reduction	
Smart Feeder Switching	
Prepaid Metering	
Telecommunications	
Meter Data Management Systems	
Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)	
have reports on the progress of the related projects:	
Not all of the Demonstration projects has reported information available. However there are 6 project	
III.2.24 Ways to Overcome the Barriers	
III.2.23 Potential Barriers in Benefit Calculation and in Expansion of SGCT for ISGAN Member Countrie	
III.2.22 Reduced Wide-scale Blackouts	
III.2.21 Reduced Oil Usage	
III.2.20 Reduced SOX, NOX, and PM-2.5 Emissions	
III.2.19 Reduced CO2 Emissions	
III.2.18 Reduced Sags and Swells	
III.2.17 Reduced Momentary Outages	
III.2.16 Reduced Restoration Cost	
III.2.15 Reduced Major Outages	
III.2.14 Reduced Sustained Outages	
III.2.13 Reduced Electricity Cost	
III.2.12 Reduced Electricity Losses	
III.2.11 Reduced Electricity Theft	
III.2.10 Reduced Meter Reading Cost	
III.2.9 Reduced Transmission& Distribution Operations Cost	
III.2.8 Reduced Transmission & Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost	
III.2.7 Reduced Equipment Failures	48
III.2.6 Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments	47
III.2.5 Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments	47
III.2.4 Reduced Congestion Cost	47

List of Figures

Figure 1 Main Topic of Discussions at Brussels	3
Figure 2 Current Questionnaire Website	4
Figure 3 First Page of Survey Questionnaire	5
Figure 4 Real Options Valuation Process for SG BCA	8
Figure 5 Model Interlinkages Accommodating DSR	9
Figure 6 Cost Benefit Analysis Framework of JRC	
Figure 7 Comparison between EC JRC and US DOE Framework	
Figure 8 The Four Major Groups of Smart Grid Benefits according to McKinsey	12
Figure 9 Basic Steps of BCA using SGIM	14
Figure 10 NERC Regions	21
Figure 11 Illustration of the Translation of Smart Grid Assets to Benefit's Monetary Value	23
Figure 12 Illustration of Asset, Function, Mechanism, Benefit Mapping (Navigant, 2011)	23
Figure 13 Asset, Function, Mechanism and Benefit	26
Figure 14 SGCT Architecture	27
Figure 15 PCM Project Information Screen	28
Figure 16 Choosing Assets in DOE's SGCT	
Figure 17 Choosing Functions in DOE's SGCT	29
Figure 18 Choosing Mechanisms in DOE's SGCT	
Figure 19 DIM main Page	30
Figure 20 DIM Step 1	31
Figure 21 DIM Step 2	32
Figure 22 Data Input Sheet Data Entry Cells	
Figure 23 DIM Step 3	
Figure 24 DIM Step 4	34
Figure 25 CM Main Page	
Figure 26 CM Main Page	
Figure 27 Detailed Architecture of DIM in Replicated Tool Kit	
Figure 34 PCM Project Information Screen	
Figure 35 PCM Asset Selection Screen	
Figure 36 PCM Function Selection Screen	
Figure 37 PCM Mechanism Selection Screen	
Figure 38 Project Information and Asset/Function/Mechanism Selection Screen (Replicated Tool Kit)	
Figure 39 PCM Benefits Screen (DOE SGCT)	
Figure 40 Benefits Screen (Replicated Tool Kit)	
Figure 41 Electricity tariff data and customers served data entry tables	
Figure 42 Cost calculation inputs	
Figure 43 Escalation factor table	
Figure 44 Data input sheet	
Figure 45 Data Input Module (DIM) Screen (Replicated Tool Kit)	
Figure 46 CM Main Page (DOE SGCT)	
Figure 47 CM Main Page (Replicated Tool Kit)	
Figure 54 The Three Dimensions of Benefit and Cost of Smart Grid	
Figure 55 Net Metering Load vs. Generation Profiles - Residential	
Figure 56 Aggregation MT-MDMS provides	
Figure 57 If-Then Aggregation Logic	
Figure 58 Cayenta/EU CIS High-Level Architecture and EA Program Member Survey	
righte so cayenta/ to their tever Architecture and tArrogram member Sulvey	

5
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
5
8
0
1
2
3

List of Tables

Table 1 Reference Case and Ideal Case benefit assumptions	15
Table 2 Benefits Comparison from Various BCA Reports	19
Table 3 NERC Regions	22
Table 4 List of Smart Grid Benefits	25
Table 5 Demonstration projects	60
Table 6 Costs and Benefits for Re-Regulation of Test Feeder	
Table 7 Cost Calculation Input	
Table 8 Default Escalation Factors given in SGCT	79
Table 9 Some Potential Costs in Smart Grid Project	84
Table 9 Average Hourly Generation Cost	90
Table 10 Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (1)	90
Table 11 Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (2)	
Table 12 Average Price of Reserves	
Table 13 Average Price of Frequency Regulation	92
Table 14 Average Price of Voltage Control (1)	92
Table 15 Average Price of Voltage Control (2)	93
Table 16 Average Price of Congestion	93
Table 17 Average Price of Wholesale Energy	94
Table 18 Inflation Factor	
Table 19 Restoration Cost per Event (1)	95
Table 20 Restoration Cost per Event (2)	95
Table 21 Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle	
Table 22 CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel	96
Table 23 Value of CO2	
Table 24 SOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas	97
Table 25 NOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas	98
Table 26 Value of SOx	98
Table 27 Value of NOx	
Table 28 Value of PM-2.5	
Table 29 Average Fuel Efficiency	100
Table 30 Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor	

Introduction and Executive Summary

The objective of ISGAN's Annex 3 is to develop a global framework and related analyses that can identify, define, and quantify in a standardized way the benefits which can be realized from the demonstration and deployment of smart grids technologies and related practices in electricity systems. To meet the required objective of this Annex, a program of work is designed and it includes the following three tasks:

Task 1: Assess Current Network Maturity Model and Update data

Subtask 1.1: Trial application of two network maturity analysis tools and results discussion Subtask 1.2: Development of the questionnaire for the assessment of the level of smartness of transmission and distribution networks

Task 2: Analyze Current Benefit-Cost Analytical Methodologies and Tools Subtask 2.1: Analyzing benchmark benefit-cost frameworks and tools Subtask 2.2: Model research to overcome limit of current BCA frameworks and tools

Task 3: Develop Toolkits to Evaluate Benefit-Costs

Subtask 3.1: Development of Simplified cost-benefits analysis tool

Subtask 3.2: Technical Analysis of current BCA took-kit and Modification of Simplified tool-kit

In the previous two year report, initial discussions following the tasks specified above are carried out and examined.

For Task I, the report goes through several maturity frameworks available, especially those of Software Engineering Institute (SEI) and Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KUL). The SEI has developed a management tool that can be used to measure the current state of a smart grid project, aiming to help utilities to identify the target and build proper strategies to reach it. The tool, Smart Grid Maturity Model (SGMM), utilizes a set of surveys called Smart Grid Compass. The drawback of this tool is the undocumented scoring method of the surveys once a result is obtained. Full assistance of an SGMM Navigator is required for the utility to understand and analyze the SGMM output. Meanwhile, the KUL references¹ introduce the characteristics, categories and key performance indicators of a smart electricity grid. The previous report also includes own survey methods developed by Annex III, although there has not much of progress after that.

For Task II, an extensive update of the BCA survey has been provided in the previous report. It started with various frameworks related to BCA, which include Frontier Economics and the Smart Grid Forum (SGF) in UK, Smart Grid Investment Model (SGIM) of SGRC, IMPLAN Model, McKinsey Tool, and general overviews of EPRI's methodology to BCA and its subsequent developments by DOE and JRC. After that, several BCA applications to country-specific or states cases are summarized. Some of the surveyed countries are Czech Republic, Netherland, Lithuania, Denmark, and USA states. For the comparison purpose, the summary for each case is carried out following some key points: background of the smart grid project, the methodology or toolkits used, the scope of the project (location, period, technologies),

¹ Refer to Dupont and Ronnie Belmans (2010)

the list and definition of benefits and costs, and deliverables (results, recommendations, policy and regulations). The 1st year's work of Task II can be compared with the previous year's work in the sense that how EPRI guideline has any impact on the work development of JRC and DOE frameworks, especially for the Smart Grid Computational Tool (SGCT), a BCA toolkit that is developed by US DOE. This report summarizes the findings from the previous works with the focus of selecting the benchmark smart grid tool kit for the development of own ISGAN tool kit for member countries.

For Task III, a simplified cost-benefit analysis tool is being developed taking SGCT of DOE as a benchmark tool kit, based on the previous year report on the development plan of ISGAN member countries' tool kit. A standalone program based on Object Oriented Programming (OOP) is now being developed replicating, revising and upgrading the currently available excel-based SGCT. As will be discussed, this tool kit has various advantages over other tools: First, this tool is open to public and anyone can take a look inside of the model deep enough to examine the visual basic application modules. JRCEU, McKinsey models were once discussed in Annex III before for any potential utilization for ISGAN member countries' tool kit. However, members acknowledge the fact that JRC works on excel based format and there seems to be not much difference between JRC's work and DOE. The difference lies in the fact that JRC never opened up the details of the functionalities and sample calculation of BC in their whole work process. McKinsey software was discussed but it is not open to public. Rather it is a commercial package with no specific advantage over to SGCT of DOE. Detailed engine is not fully explained and the scope of the analysis the tool kit provides does not seem to be very useful (Nigris 2012, Kim 2013).

The new tool kit being developed is named for the time being as 'Replicated Tool Kit' for convenience. Through the replication process, a lot of details have been identified, which, otherwise, would not have been known to us. Many of the parameters utilized in the process of benefit calculation may be required to be collected from outside, reflecting the region specific characteristics. Some of the default values provided by SGCT, although they are from USA case (refer to Appendix), may also be useful until those detailed information becomes available for ISGAN member countries even when they don't have them. In addition, there a at least 12 smart grid projects currently being conducted in USA (refer to III.2.24), and those projects are starting to produce some detailed information which might be potentially utilized by current SGCT. Not only those advantages, there are many interesting researches being conducted around the world and the work results could be very useful sources of updating this replication effort in the future, once this replication process allows us to identify the pros and cons of the current model.

The last chapter of the Expansion of Smart Grid Computational Tool is the wild idea of what could be accomplished in this whole process of simplified own ISGAN tool kit for member countries. Some of the ideas for the tool kit development become clearer as the process of the replication progresses. By the time of the completion of this year's work, we hope to have a very concrete idea on how to proceed to further develop this current work in the future for the benefit of every member country in ISGAN.

Task I: Assess Current Network Maturity Model and Update data

Subtask 1.1: Trial application of two network maturity analysis tools and results discussion Subtask 1.2: Development of the questionnaire for the assessment of the level of smartness of transmission and distribution networks

I.1 Questionnaire of ISGAN'S Annex 3: Chronology

- 1. Brussels Belgium On July 2nd-3rd, 2013
 - A. National experts meeting for Annex 3 of ISGAN was conducted in Brussels, Belgium.
 - B. In total, there are representatives from five countries (Italy, Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, USA) and JRC that present on that meeting.
 - C. One of the main focuses on that meeting is the discussion of the questionnaire of smart grid maturity measurement that could be disseminated to member countries.
 - D. The draft of the questionnaire has been prepared by the leading Italian team to be criticized and reshaped by the national experts.

Focus on the two main chapters;

Chapter 0: state of the art (Ajou, per fissure le basi; Psmart; alter info → pubblicare)

Chapter 1 – smartness assessment

The state of the art has been suitably illustrated and discussed in the valuable work by AJOU UNIVERSITY (see attach 2).

Other methods, based on national practices, have been investigated too (Psmart, see attach 3); those practices have proven to be precise and useful for evaluating and comparing homogeneous initiatives, but it seems very difficult to make a general use of such tools.

The Bellmans method (see attach 4) has been extended, and a free access web questionnaire has been prepared by softeco (see attach 5).

This model has been applied to different initiatives, and the relevant results will be discussed during the meeting.

Figure 1 Main Topic of Discussions at Brussels

- E. The other agenda for that meeting is the preparation for the executive meetings of ISGAN and the other two tasks of the ISGAN Annex 3.
- F. From the discussion, a new and updated survey has been produced. This survey would be disseminated by the member countries and gathered by the Annex 3 team to evaluate its effectiveness to measure the smartness of smart grid.
 - ✓ In the case of Korea, the survey was disseminated to the sole power utility, Korean Power Company (KEPCO).
 - ✓ Initial survey result was reported (Refer to Kim et al. 2014)

- ✓ Frequent follow-ups after the meeting and the attached survey questionnaire was drafted (Refer to Appendix)
- 2. Shanghai, China 31st to 1st April 2014 (Shanghai Hengshan Hotel, Blossom Hall (3rd floor of the Hotel)
 - A. Programme of 4th ISGAN Workshops "Smart Grid Transition"
 - ✓ There is no explicit discussion on network maturity analysis and the measurement of smartness
- 3. Montreal, Canada Wednesday, October 1, 2014
 - A. IEA ISGAN Public WORKSHOP #5: Lessons Learned from Smart Grid Innovations

I.2 Current Status of Questionnaire of ISGAN'S Annex 3: As of Dec. 1st, 2014

Official Website is prepared at IEA-ISGAN home page such as following:

	ISGAN International Smart Grid Action Network (ISGAN)
HOME ABOUT ISGAN SCOPE	PROJECTS PARTICIPATION WORKSHOP PUBLICATIONS ANNOUNCEMENTS ENARD REPRESENTATIVES LOGIN
HOME > Announcements	(Questionnaire) Annex 3
Loe a	Secretariat 2014.11.26.14:42 Hit 52 A Report Print B Scrop Fork* + -
Latest Post	Dear Energy Colleagues,
(Questionnaire) Annex 3	We warmly encourage ISGAN and broader experts to participate in the Annex 3(Cost-Benefit Analysis) questionnaire.
(Call for papers) Call for papers for ISSW 2015	The Preface Questionnaire and the Smartness Questionnaire are available at the following links: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1wV5MxIfAOXCVgr8_hKyXujo4tMgIt3KK-NVe8xSIG8s/edit7
2012 ISGAN Annual Report 2011 ISGAN Annual Report	usp=sharing https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1KduJoX9rLUhPs2l3ZVRTYLIJw7TwdbzaYbJVwgND1uc/edit?usp= sharing
ISGAN Inter-Annex Workshop - 5. Online Wrap-up	Please select one or more test cases on which to apply both questionnaire. This will lead to the
ISGAN Inter-Annex Workshop - 4. Report	development of a simple excel file in order to obtain an overall picture of the maturity level based on the answers gathered from the questionnaire for the electric system of one country (transmission + distribution). The assessment of the maturity of the network is deemed necessary as a benchmark in view of better assessing the costs and benefits of smart grids projects. The latter activity represents the next step for the Annex 3.
Total: 247.990 Vestenlay: 212 Today: 112	For further information, please contact Annex 3 Lead, Dr. Maurizlo Delfanti (maurizio.delfanti@polimi.it) or ISGAN Secretariat (isgan@smartgrid.or.kr).
Rise 2 0 ATOM 0.0	Thank you for your cooperation.

Figure 2 Current Questionnaire Website

Source: http://www.iea-isgan.org/?m=bbs&bid=Announcements&uid=1573

PREFACE QU	ESTIONNAIRE
* Required	
	an metwork
General Informat	tion
The following questionnaire of electricity grids.	e is aimed at collecting preliminary information about the level of smartness
Name -	
Surname *	1
Job position	- -
Company/Institution *	
Address; City; Country *	
email "	
The questionnaire is refe	rred to: "
 A specific distribution g 	rid (minimum consistence: at least one HV/MV substation)
 A specific transmission A whole distribution grow 	
	t belonging to / operated by a single Company (DSO) rid belonging to / operated by a single Company (TSO)
	ds considered at a national/regional level
	ids considered at a national/regional level
Other	
Continue *	33% completed
Powered by	This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms

Figure 3 First Page of Survey Questionnaire Source: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1wV5MxIfAOXCVgr8_hKyXujo4tMgIt3KK-NVe8sSIG8s/viewform?edit_requested=true

Task II: Analyze Current Benefit-Cost Analytical Methodologies and Tools

Subtask 2.1: Analyzing benchmark benefit-cost frameworks and tools

Subtask 2.2: Model research to overcome limit of current BCA frameworks and tools

II.1 Overview: Smart Grid BCA Frameworks

As professor Delfanti (Leader of Annex 3) properly summarized in his presentation material (Oct., 2014), the review of possible tools for cost benefit analysis has been completed with up-to-date information. Referring to Ajou (Kim at al., 2014), he summarizes the two Models

- ✓ EA Technology "Transform Model": provides a detailed representation of a given electricity network and describes the impact that future scenarios may have on those existing networks. The Transform Model is based on four steps:
 - Step 1: Scenarios
 - Step 2: Existing Networks
 - Step 3: Solutions
 - Step 4: Modelling Combinations
- ✓ Synapse Energy Economics "Benefit Cost Analysis for Distributed Energy Resources": BCA results should be reported using the Societal Cost Test, the Utility Cost Test and the Rate Impact Measure test. The principal characteristics of the model are as follows:
 - A parameter-based model, which allows the network to be constructed of common elements
 - It is based on real data from distribution networks, local authorities, central government and a range of other sources
 - It can assess and optimize investment over a range of conventional and 'smart' strategies, and involving a wide range of solutions

Other frameworks of Smart Grid's Benefit and Cost Analysis available in the literature were surveyed in Kim et al. (2014).

II.1.1 Smart Grid Forum (SGF) of UK

According to SGF (1 May, 2011), the Smart Grid Forum (SGF) aims to bring together key opinion formers, experts and stakeholders in the development of GB smart grids to provide strategic input to help shape Ofgem² and DECC³'s thinking and leadership in this area. To help provide the network companies with a

² The Office of Gas and Electricity Markets

³ The Department of Energy and Climate Change

common focus in addressing future networks challenges and to provide drive and direction for the development of smart grids, SGF drives policy change by:

- ✓ Developing a common understanding of the value that smart grids can deliver,
- ✓ Identifying barriers to network companies adopting smart grid solutions, and
- ✓ Putting smart grids in the context of wider policy developments.

5 workstreams (WS) identified were followings:

- ✓ Work Stream 1 "Assumptions and Scenarios"
- ✓ Work Stream 2 "Evaluation Framework"
- ✓ Work Stream 3 "The Ideal Network"
- ✓ Work Stream 4 "Closing doors"
- ✓ Work Stream 5 "ways of working"

After a long series of DECC/Ofgem SMART GRID FORUM mostly held in London, 11th DECC/Ofgem SMART GRID FORUM (22nd October 2013, BIS Conference Centre, 1 Victoria Street) identifies the current workstreams such as followings:

- ✓ Work Stream 1 "Assumptions and Scenarios"
- ✓ Work Stream 2 "Evaluation Framework"
- ✓ Work Stream 3 "The Ideal Network"
- ✓ Work Stream 4 "Closing doors"
- ✓ Work Stream 5 "Knowledge management" or development and launch of the knowledge portal
- ✓ Work Stream 6 "assessment of the options for the development of smart grids"
- ✓ Work Stream 7 It is not clear from meeting minutes, but it is likely an extension of WS5.
- ✓ Work Stream 8 "Vision and Routemap"

For BCA analysis, WS2 of evaluation framework seems to have been successfully accomplished. SGF meeting minutes of 4th, 5th and 6th already declares that. Following the presentation and draft report by Frontier Economics (March 2011, October 2011), Frontier Economics submitted the result of analysis as Frontier Economics (November 2011). The developed too is based on real options methodology which accounts the probability of salvaging option in each of the decision tree within the period of the project life. It is noted to be circulated within UK utilities.

(To be further updated in the final report)

II.1.2 BCA analysis of Smart Grid by Frontier Economics

Frontier Economics (Oct. 2011) presets the reason for using real options valuation for BCA as "to avoid lock-in to a particular investment path". For the investment with option values, it presents example

cases such as, investments that can be incrementally augmented in future periods; investments that promote learning, and which may therefore make future investments less costly or more feasible; and investments that entail high upfront costs, but reduce ongoing investment costs.

Real options-based analysis in the face of uncertainty is chosen to allow the best strategy by factoring in the impact of new information into the analysis at a decision point in the future; and the possibility that the investment strategy can adjust when this new information becomes available.



Following diagram describes the methodology adopted by Frontier Economics for SGF.

Figure 4 Real Options Valuation Process for SG BCA

Source: Frontier Economics (March 2011)

As the diagram shows, this model adopts two periods (Time 1 and Time 2) for analysis: the first time period from 2012 until 2023, and the second from 2023 out to 2050. The year 2023 is selected considering the fact that Government's *Carbon Plan* sets out scenarios for meeting the UK's 4th carbon budget covering the period from 2023 to 2027⁴.

Based on three smart grid investment strategies, Top-Down (Top-down smart grid investment strategy), Incremental (Incremental smart grid investment strategy) and Conventional (Conventional strategy), the best available strategy is tried to be identified for each different scenarios for each of two different Time period. That is, some of the strategies chosen for Time period 1 may or may not be available for Time

⁴ DECC (2011)

period 2, since, for example, Top-Down strategy selected for period 1 would prevent other strategies to be adopted for period 2 since it would strand a number as previously invested assets.

This report is focuses on the benefit, cost calculation of three different investment strategies and scenarios. Followings are the cost and benefit considered in their model:

- ✓ Distribution network reinforcement
- Distribution network interruption costs
- Distribution network losses
- ✓ Generation costs
- ✓ DSR "inconvenience" costs
- Transmission network reinforcement



Figure 5 Model Interlinkages Accommodating DSR

Source: Frontier Economics (Oct. 2011)

Above diagram depicts how network model, generation model for proper representation of demand, for intermittent generation facilities such as wind and PV, and Real Options CBA model can be utilized in an interlinked manner.

Simply reviewing the details of model documentation on these aspects would not reveal the modeling details of real options CBA. But this report shows a way to overcome the problems of cost and benefit quantification arising from uncertainty.

As mentioned before, one of the focus of EPRI methodology, as well as other BCAs that follow its lead, is the benefit quantification. In the DOE's SGCT, the process of transforming smart grid elements (assets) to the monetized value of benefits is done.

The tool already has a list of Smart Grid assets that can be analyzed, which is divided into five categories: Customer Assets, AMI Assets, Distribution Assets, Transmission Assets, and Other Assets. In total, there are 21 possible assets--an increase from the 19 assets in EPRI report--provided by the tool. Then those assets are translated into 15 functions, such as automatic voltage and VAR control. The mechanism is a translator between functions and benefits in this toolkit. Each function would have several possible mechanisms that can be chosen by the user. The toolkit then translates those mechanisms into the benefits of smart grid. Lastly, the user would need to provide the data and values of the smart grid to fill out the parameters and variables needed to monetize those benefits.

II.1.3 BCA analysis of Smart Grid by JRC, EU

European Commission (EC)'s Joint Research Centre (JRC) also developed its own BCA framework as an improvement of the EPRI methodology. The joint effort between Members of EURELECTRIC and JRC resulted in a methodological framework to systematically estimate the different benefits of smart grid projects in seven steps, as follow.



Figure 6 Cost Benefit Analysis Framework of JRC

Source: JRC (2012b)

In some of their reports, JRC outlines the seven steps of this BCA and its application to In Grid, a smart grid project in Portugal that is used as sample case of this proposed BCA framework. JRC also combines several of its other researches with the basic EPRI methodology. In "Assessing Smart Grid Benefits and Impacts: EU and U.S. Initiatives," (2012), EC JRC and US DOE compares the two frameworks developed by the two institutions. Figure below shows the comparison between the two:



Figure 7 Comparison between EC JRC and US DOE Framework

Source: Giordano (JRC) and Bossart (DOE), 2012

II.1.4 BCA analysis of Smart Grid by McKinsey and Company

Another framework that was also considered in the ISGAN Executive Committee Meeting⁵ for the Annex 3's BCA research is the one from McKinsey and Company. McKinsey already developed a BCA tool and was under trial within ERDF (European Regional Development Fund) and three other European DSOs (Distributed System Operators). The drawback of this proposal is the high cost for hiring McKinsey to do the job of tool development, that is, 70000 Euros.

In their tool, the smart grid elements (applications) are classified into four different groups with different functionalities, those includes: AMI, customer application, grid automation, and integration of DG (Distributed Generation) and EV (Electric Vehicle). They also put the smart grid benefits into four major groups: demand shift and savings, longer life of assets, operational improvement, and reliability improvement. These categorizations are different than those proposed by EPRI, but still they share general similarities. In essence, most if not all smart grid benefits is based on the saving, reduced, or avoided costs of normal grid between the baseline and scenario. Figure below shows the groups of benefits proposed by McKinsey



Figure 8 The Four Major Groups of Smart Grid Benefits according to McKinsey

Source: Nigris, 2012

⁵ The framework was proposed in the 4th Executive Committee Meeting in Nice, France, September 26th-28th, 2012.

II.1.5 Smart Grid Investment Model (SGIM) of SGRC⁶

Initially as a research project to assist cooperative and municipal utilities with smart grid investment analysis, the SGRC transitioned to an independent research and consulting firm in January 2011. The model itself is completed on December 2011 and available to non-consortium members on February 2012. The main product of the SGRC is the Smart Grid Investment Model (SGIM). The SGRC has completed smart grid business case analysis for 16 utilities and is currently engaged in four new projects⁷. Each investment analysis project applies the SGIM to provide the most cost-effective and comprehensive smart grid business case analysis available. These utilizations of the model then has been maintained by the SGRC for future references so that new analysis of smart grid investment can be conducted more effectively and efficiently.

SGIM utilizes four basic steps to evaluate the benefits and costs of smart grid project, that includes:

- ✓ Identify each technology and program that fits within the smart grid purview,
- ✓ Identify benefits of each technology/program including cost savings, operational efficiency and reductions in customer kWh, peak kW and hourly load profiles over the next twenty years,
- ✓ Identify technology, installation, program and management costs based on utility and customer characteristics
- ✓ Compare benefits and costs to determine investment returns.

In general, the steps of SGIM utilization are illustrated in the figure below. Although each utility might have a unique information of load profiles, avoided power costs, and customer characteristics among others, the same quantitative BCA is applicable to all cases. To take into account the utility-specifics, as shown in figure below, combination of utility customer data and member utility data would be used to estimate end-use hourly load model for 20-year horizon. The model then applies various impacts--technology, program, economic and utility--to estimate the avoided costs (benefits)

⁶ The SGRC is a research and consulting firm providing smart grid software and financial analysis with headquarters in Orlando, Florida. It was initiated by Dr. Jerry Jackson at Texas A&M University in 2010, which is an energy economist with experience in energy technology market analysis, financial model development, and project management.

⁷ As mentioned in <u>http://www.smartgridresearchconsortium.org/index.htm</u>, accessed December 27th, 2013



Figure 9 Basic Steps of BCA using SGIM

Source: Jackson, J. (2012)

On the application of the model, SGRC developed Excel based stand-alone program for the users inputting various specific data and analyzing the results. The first part of the program is a quantitative characterization of the base case electricity use. This base case would be later used as a reference point to the avoided costs calculation.

Then, a specific worksheet called GATEWAY is used to provide some information: selecting the technologies and/or programs that would be available through the smart grid investments, starting point to input detailed parameters related to the technologies/programs, showing selected summary BCA results (IRR, undiscounted breakeven period, discounted breakeven period, NPV) among others.

The detailed BCA results are presented in the DASHBOARD and other worksheets. The DASHBOARD also provides the user with appropriate buttons to evaluate the parameters applied in the analysis. The users can also modify the parameters that are supplied by the SGIM.

Some of the smart grid applications that can be analyzed by the SGIM include:

- ✓ AMI/Smart Meters
- ✓ Distribution Automation
- ✓ VAR Control
- Customer Technologies and Programs, such as Programmable Communication Thermostats (PCT), Pricing and Demand Response
- ✓ Communication and IT Application
- ✓ Meter Data Analytics

Although the model could be very good comparison and base for the improved SGCT program, the fact that it is a privatized model (not public) deters the possibility. Also, there is not enough documentation of the model and its utilizations to be based upon.

II.1.6 United States: Smart Grid Consumer Collaborative (SGCC)

By macroeconomic analysis, many researchers have forecast the cost and benefit of Smart Grid. As the real-world experience is growing, Smart Grid Consumer Collaborative (SGCC) reviewed available research quantifying benefits – economic, environmental, reliability, and customer choice – and costs associated with Smart Grid investments.

In this report, benefit cost analysis was fulfilled with reference case and ideal case. Reference (low end) case embodies conservative assumptions typical of the current average capability deployment. Ideal (high end) Case is based on the achievable, "the state of the possible" Smart Grid deployment goal. Also this report describes the benefit drivers for each Smart Grid capability. Benefit-cost analysis is done by calculation of Net Present Value for 13 year deployment of Smart Grid infrastructure and its operation. The table below compares the assumptions of Reference and Ideal case.

Capability	Primary Benefit Drivers	Reference Case	Ideal Case
		Assumptions	Assumptions
Integrated Volt/VAr	Average reduction in peak demand	• 3.5% peak reduction	• 3.5% peak reduction
Control	 Average reduction in energy use 	• n/a	• 2.7% energy reduction
Remote Meter Reading	 Type of meter reading (manual or automated) prior to Smart Meter rollout 	Routine monthly meter reads previously automated	• Meter reading previously manual
	• Policy regarding move ins/move outs (is prorating allowed between meter reads or must meters be read on customer move dates?)	Prorating prohibited	Prorating prohibited
Time-Varying Rates	 Customer participation rates (opt in) Customer response level to price differentials 	 2% participation 20% load shift 4% usage reduction 	 20% participation 20% load shift 4% usage reduction

Table 1 Reference Case and Ideal Case benefit assumptions

	Conservation impact	• 2.575kW/customer	• 2.575kW/customer
	·		(1)
	Average peak demand	(1)	
	per residential customer	• \$134.28/kW year(1)	• \$134.28/kW year
	 Value of generation capacity avoided 	• 11,280 kWh/ year	(1)
	 Average usage per residential 	(1)	• 11,280 kWh/year
	customer per year	• \$0.0682/kWh (1)	(1)
	 Value of electricity use avoided 		• \$0.0682/kWh (1)
Prepay and remote	•Customer participation rates	• 2.5% participation	• 5% participation
disconnect/	Conservation impact	 11% usage reduction 	• 11% usage
reconnect	Existence of remote disconnect	• No remote	reduction
	prohibitions	disconnect	• No remote
		prohibitions	disconnect
			prohibitions
Revenue	Level of electricity theft prior to Smart		
Assurance	Meter deployment		
	 Average age of meters being replaced 		
Customer Energy	•Customer participation rates	• 2% participation	• 5% participation
Management	 Feedback mechanism Type 	 In-home display 	 In-home display
	Conservation impact	• 5% usage reduction	• 5% usage reduction
Service	 Value assigned to a minute of 	• \$1.80/minute	• \$1.80/minute
Outage Management	reliability improvement	(weighted average	(weighted average
Management; Fault Location		opportunity cost to	opportunity cost to
and Isolation		residential,	residential,
		commercial, industrial)	commercial, industrial)
Renewable	Difference in cost of relative to central		
Generation	resources		
Integration	Difference in environmental impact		
	vs. central		
	Value of environmental impact		
	reductions		
	Ratio of customer-sited to central		
	resources over time		

Note: (1) These assumptions are used throughout the report as appropriate.

Source: Smart Grid Consumer Collaborative (SGCC), Smart Grid Economic and Environmental Benefits: A Review and Synthesis of Research on Smart Grid Benefits and Costs, October 2013.

In this report, the results show that the direct and indirect economic benefit of the grid modernization is larger than the cost of deployment of Smart Grid infrastructure and its maintenance. Also it indicates that the grid modernization has a significant benefit on the environment through conservation and renewable generation integration.

II.2 Summary of BCA Frameworks and Application Cases

The Methodology of EPRI (EPRI, 2010) could be considered as the general approach of estimating benefits and costs of a smart grid project. Other institutions that built their BCA tools upon the Methodology are US Department of Energy (DOE) with its Smart Grid Computational Tool (SGCT) and European Commission's Joint Research Centre (EC JRC) although with integration of its own elements such as smart grid characteristics, Key Performance Indicators (KPI), and qualitative analysis. Similar frameworks are developed by McKinsey and Smart Grid Investment Model (SGIM).

The main focus of these BCA is the definition of benefits. In general, most of the smart grid benefits is in form of reduced costs. As to which benefits are considered and how to quantify those benefits, each framework could have different interpretations compared to others. Some of the general benefits are reduced generation cost, reduced CO₂ emissions, reduced meter reading cost, reduced outages, and reduced cost of transmission and distribution system.

Interesting framework is presented by Frontier Economics, which works closely with Smart Grid Forum (SGF) of UK. The model they developed applies real options valuation, which is application of option valuation techniques to capital budgeting decisions. The reason is to avoid a stuck-in scenario where only one specified investment path can be chosen. In a sense, it is similar to integrating the advanced version of sensitivity analysis to the main BC Analysis itself. Also, the Frontier Economics combine their Real options BCA model with network model and generation model to provide the network and generation costs to the BCA model.

In IMPLAN discussion (as well as others) it is notified that impacts of smart grid could be more than a direct economic impact. Utilizing input output data, the model could analyze the indirect economic impacts and induced economic impacts of smart grid, in addition to the normative direct economic impacts.

The main focus of the comparison between the studies is the definition of benefits and costs. It can be observed that depending on the background and scope of each project, the list of benefits and costs would differ one from another. It must be noted also, that not all studies surveyed here has a clear documentation of the exact calculation (quantification and monetization) of the benefits, which could be tricky sometimes.

Taking Czech Republic case as an example, the smart grid project there focus more on reshaping the electricity load, thus the smart grid benefits are categorized into load leveling effect, time shifting effect, and off-peak time shifting effect. The calculation of these benefits, then, would base on the cost avoidance resulting from the project.

Meanwhile in Denmark, the benefits of smart grid is divided into savings on reserves and regulating power, savings on electricity generation, and savings on energy-saving initiatives. The method of benefits quantification--seeing this categorization--would be the reduced cost that stems from the reduced electricity consumption.

Both Czech Republic and Denmark cases have similarities that they don't consider much the benefits related with the transmission and distribution. As can be seen, most of the benefits are related with reduced generation or load saving. Netherland's report also shares the same point of view for benefits estimation. On the other hand, Lithuania does not consider the savings from generation side, but mostly deals with benefits related with smart metering.

The environmental benefit of smart grid, which is reduction of CO_2 emission, also becomes more important. The BCA report of Ireland is one of those that take this into account. In relation to CO_2 emissions, the McKinsey framework also made it into their list of smart grid's major benefits. The same goes for SGCC report, which covers several utilities.

In conclusion, the list and definition of benefits may differ between cases and a standardized list and definition that encompass the whole possible benefits must be generated. Table below compares the benefits definition from various BCA reports. It basically expands the similar table from the previous report. As usual, the benefits categorization coined by EPRI (2010) is used as the base. But the listed benefits might have unclear monetization method. The estimation of benefits, then, is quite a delicate process.

A further discussion is being made for the review of SGCT (Smart Grid Computational Tool Kit) developed by DOE following the guideline of EPRI (2012) for the selection of benchmark benefit-cost frameworks and tool.

								В	CA REPC	ORTS					
	Benefits (EPRI 2010)			EPRI 2011	FERC 2006	FSC 2008	IEE 2011	McKi nsey	Czec h	Den mark	Irela nd	Lithu ania	Netherl and	New York	SGCC
		Optimized Generator Operation						х	х	х	х		x	х	x
	Improved Asset	Deferred Generation Capacity Investments		x		х	х	x	x	x			x	х	x
	Utilization	Reduced Ancillary Service Cost	х	x	х				х	x			x	х	x
		Reduced Congestion Cost	х	х					х	х			x		x
		Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments	х	x		x	х	x					x		
Economic	T&D Capital Savings	Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments	х	х		х	х	х					x	х	
		Reduced Equipment Failures	х	x										х	
	780.0804	Reduced T&D Equipment Maintenance Cost	x	x				x		x				х	
	T&D O&M Savings	Reduced T&D Operations Cost	х	х		х		х				х		X	
		Reduced Meter Reading Cost		х	х	х	х	х			х	х		х	х
	Theft	Reduced Electricity													X

Table 2 Benefits Comparison from Various BCA Reports

	Reduction	Theft												
	Energy Efficiency	Reduced Electricity Losses	х	х							х	х	х	
	Electricity Cost Savings	Reduced Electricity Cost	х	х		x	x	x	x	х	x		x	x
		Reduced Sustained Outages	Х	х	х	х	х	х					x	
	Power Interruptions	Reduced Major Outages	x	х	х	х	х	х					x	
Reliability		Reduced Restoration Cost	х	х	х	х	х	х			х	х		
	Power	Reduced Momentary Outages	x	х	х	х		х			х		x	
	Quality	Reduced Sags and Swells	x	х										
Environ-	Air Emissions	Reduced CO2 Emissions	х	х		х	х	х		х				х
mental		Reduced SOx, NOx, and PM-10 Emissions	х	х										
	Energy	Reduced Oil Usage					Х	Х		Х				
Security	Security	Reduced Wide-scale Blackouts	x	х				х						

II.3 Smart Grid Computational Tool (SGCT)

II.3.1 Overview of SGCT

DOE's Smart Grid Computational Tools (SGCT) is a benefit cost analysis (BCA) tools developed by DOE which is strongly based on EPRI's Methodological Approach for Estimating the Benefits and Costs of Smart Grid Demonstration Projects (2010).



Figure 10 NERC Regions Source: http://www.kestrelpower.com/services_NERC.php

The tool is designed to deliver some answers to smart grid projects' benefit related questions for the above designated NETC (North American Electric Reliability Corporation) regions.

	Table 3 NERC Regions
NERC Region Abbreviation	NERC Region Name
FRCC	Florida Reliability Coordinating Council
MRO	Midwest Reliability Organization
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council
RFC	ReliabilityFirst Corporation
SERC	SERC Reliability Corporation
SPP	Southwest Power Pool
TRE	Texas Regional Entity
WECC	Western Electricity Coordinating Council
ASCC	Alaska Systems Coordinating Council
HI	Hawaii
NA	No NERC Region

Source: DOE (2011)

This approach is then modified by SGCT in its own BCA process. The first modification is that SGCT bypasses or simplifies some of the 10 (ten) steps approach of EPRI. For example, there is no detailed characteristic needed in SGCT, only a mapping from assets-functions-mechanisms-benefits is needed.

II.3.2 Steps of SGCT

The step of project's baseline definition for benefits calculation is given to the user and the tools will only receive it as an input. Also, the quantified and monetized benefits steps are combined. The second modification is the addition of several additional analyses in the tools, such as sensitivity analysis.



Figure 11 Illustration of the Translation of Smart Grid Assets to Benefit's Monetary Value Source: DOE (2011)

The above diagram of SGCT characterizes smart grid projects by identifying the technology (assets) that will be installed and identifying what that technology will do (functions and mechanisms). Based on this characterization, the SGCT identifies the economic, reliability, environmental, and security benefits the smart grid project will yield.

Figure below shows the illustration of Assets to Functions to Mechanisms to Benefits mapping in SGCT. It can be seen that the function can be mixed, such as that an asset can have several functions as well as a function can be done by several assets. The same goes for any of the mapping, up to mechanisms to benefits mapping.



Figure 12 Illustration of Asset, Function, Mechanism, Benefit Mapping (Navigant, 2011) Source: DOE (2011)

II.3.3 Detailed Steps of SGCT

The relationship between technology and benefit calculation is governed by the choices of functions and the related mechanisms shown above,

Assets

The first step is to identify the smart grid assets that a project will implement.

- ✓ Advanced Interrupting Switch
- ✓ Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)/Smart Meter
- ✓ Controllable/regulating Inverter

- ✓ Customer EMS/Display/Portal
- ✓ Distribution Automation
- ✓ Distribution Management System
- ✓ Enhanced Fault Detection Technology
- ✓ Equipment Health Sensor
- ✓ FACTS Device
- ✓ Fault Current Limiter
- ✓ Loading Monitor
- ✓ Microgrid Controller
- ✓ Phase Angle Regulating Transformer
- ✓ Phasor Measurement Technology
- ✓ Smart Appliances and Equipment (Customer)
- ✓ Software Advanced Analysis/Visualization
- ✓ Two-way communications (high bandwidth)
- ✓ Vehicle to Grid Charging Station
- ✓ Very Low Impedance (High Temperature Superconducting) Cables
- ✓ Distributed Generator (diesel, PV, wind)
- ✓ Electricity Storage device (e.g., battery, flywheel, PEV etc.)

The list of assets could be regarded to expand in the future as there will be technological progress in this field of smart grid. Currently 22 types asset are defined in SGCT.

Functions

Followings are the type of functions identified in SGCT and the number of functions is 15.

- ✓ Fault Current Limiting
- ✓ Wide Area Monitoring and Visualization and Control
- ✓ Dynamic Capability Rating
- ✓ Power Flow Control
- ✓ Adaptive Protection
- ✓ Automated Feeder and Line Switching
- ✓ Automated Islanding and Reconnection
- ✓ Automated Voltage and VAR Control
- ✓ Diagnosis and Notification of Equipment Condition
- ✓ Enhanced Fault Protection
- ✓ Real-time Load Measurement and Management
- ✓ Real-time Load Transfer
- ✓ Customer Electricity Use Optimization
- ✓ Storing Electricity for Later Use
- ✓ Distributed Production of Electricity

Mechanism

Once the function is chosen, there will be mapping relation provided by the SGCT to select related benefit. It will be discussed in the figure to be provided below.

Benefits

There are four categories of benefits: Economic, Reliability, Environmental, and Security. Total of 22 benefits are calculated as the form of avoided cost due to the introduction of smart grid technologies. Following is a table of the List of Smart Grid Benefits.

Benefit Category Benefit Sub-category		Benefit					
	Improved Asset Utilization	Optimized Generator Operation Deferred Generation Capacity Investments Reduced Ancillary Service Cost Reduced Congestion Cost					
Economic	T&D Capital Savings	Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments Reduced Equipment Failures					
	T&D O&M Savings	Reduced T&D Equipment Maintenance Cost Reduced T&D Operations Cost Reduced Meter Reading Cost					
	Theft Reduction	Reduced Electricity Theft					
	Energy Efficiency	Reduced Electricity Losses					
	Electricity Cost Savings	Reduced Electricity Cost					
Reliability	Power Interruptions	Reduced Sustained Outages Reduced Major Outages Reduced Restoration Cost					
22211C102112121121945	Power Quality	Reduced Momentary Outages Reduced Sags and Swells					
Environmental	Air Emissions	Reduced CO ₂ Emissions Reduced SO _x , NO _x , and PM-2.5 Emissions					
Security	Energy Security	Reduced Oil Usage Reduced Wide-scale Blackouts					

Table 4 List of Smart Grid Benefits

Source: DOE (2011)

1			1	Functions														
		Benefits	with Current Umiling	Nde Arta Monitoring, sustitution, and Control	ynamic Capability Rating	ower How Control	dantius Protection	atomated Feeder and Line atomics	atomated Islanding and econnection	etomated Voltage and VAR potrel	lagnosis & Notification of quigment Candition	chanced Fault Protection	ear i me Lozo Measurement s. Taragement	eal-time Load Transfer	glimizikon		Istributed Production of Actricity	
		Optimized Generator Operation		•												•	•	
	Improved Asset	Deferred Generation Capacity Investments	-	-		-	-	-	-					- 1	•	•	•	
	Utilization	Reduced Ancillary Service Cost Reduced Congestion Cost	-	÷	•	•	-	+	-	•			•		:	:	:	
	2020307-005	Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments					-	-						- 1			-	
Economic	T&D Capital Savings	Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments			•		-							•	•	•	•	
	77770770	Reduced Equipment Failures Reduced T&D Equipment Maintenance Cost	•		•	-	-		-	-		•						
	T&D.O&M	Reduced T&D Equipment Maintenance Cost Reduced T&D Operations Cost	-			-	-				•			-	-	-	-	
	Savings	Reduced Meter Reading Cost					+	-	-	-			•		-	-		
	Theft	Reduced Electricity Theft																
	Reduction Energy Efficiency	Reduced Electricity Losses												•		-	<u>а</u> .	
	Electricity Cost Savings	Reduced Electricity Cost														•		
	Power	Reduced Sustained Outages		1			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	
20000000	Interruptions	Reduced Major Outages		•		-	-	-	•			-	•	•	-	-	_	
Reliability		Reduced Restoration Cost Reduced Momentary Outages	÷ -	-		-		•	•	-	•	:	•				-	
	Power Quality	Reduced Sags and Swells	-			-	+	+	-					- 1	-		-	
-	The same same card	Reduced CO ₂ Emissions				•	1			•	•			•		•		
Environmental	Air Emissions	Reduced SO ₁ , NO ₂ , and PM-10 Emissions				•					•			•			•	
Security	Energy Security	Reduced Oil Usage (not monetized)							3		•		•			•		
security	strictly services	Reduced Widescale Blackouts		•	•		Ι.,										_	1
						-				-		•	-	-	-	-		Advanced Interrupting Switch
									•	+:	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	AMI/Smart Meters Controllable/regulating Inverter
							-		-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	Customer EMS/Display/Portal
							•	•	•	•		-		•	-	1		Distribution Automation
					•		•	•	•	•			•	•				Distribution Management System
												•			1			Enhanced Fault Detection Technology
					•	_	-			-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	Equipment Health Sensor
						•				-		-	-	-	-	-	+	FACTS Device
			•		•					-	•	-	-	•	-	-	-	Fault Current Limiter Loading Monitor
					-				•	-	-	+	-	+ -	-	+	+	Microgrid Controller
						•												Phase Angle Regulating Transformer
				•	•	•	•		•	•		•						Phasor Measurement Technology
															•			Smart Appliances and Equipment (Customer)
				•	•					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Software - Advanced Analysis/Visualization
				•	-		•	•	•	•	-	_	•	•		-	_	Two-way Communications (high bandwidth)
						•				-		1	1	1	•	i	-	Vehicle to Grid Charging Station Very Low Impedance (High Temperature Superconducing) cables
						-	-			•		-		-		1	•	Distributed Generator (diesel, PV, wind)
										•						•		Electricity Storage device (e.g., battery, flywheel, PEV etc)
				-				Line		VAR	a.	uu	ement &			r Use		
			Fault Current Literiting	Wide Area Monitoring, Visualization, and Control	Dynamic Capability Rating	Power Flaw Control		Automated Feeder and Li Switching	Automated Islanding and Reconnection	Automated Voltage and V Control	Diagnosis & Notification o Equipment Condition	8	Real-Time Load Measurer Management	Real-time Load Transfer	Dustomer Electricity Use Optimization	Storing Electricity for Later	Distributed Production of Electricity	Smart Grid Assets
								0	Delivery	-					Use	0	Other	
										Funct	ions							

Figure 13 Asset, Function, Mechanism and Benefit

Above diagram is prepared simply to show the role of mechanism. Mechanism maps the choice of benefit to be considered when a function is selected. The red box in the above figure is the role of mechanism linking the choice of technology to the benefits to be calculated.

II.3.4 Overall Architecture of SGCT

There are basically three modules in SGCT, which are: 1. Project Characterization Module (PCM); 2. Data Input Module (DIM); and 3. Computational Module (CM), see figure below. The first module helps user determine the functionality of the projects. Basically it maps each assets provided by a smart grid project to onto a standardized set of benefit categories. It handles the first to fourth steps in EPRI's ten step approach. In the second module, user can input the required data to calculate project benefits. The list of anticipated benefit's calculation. The module basically tackles the fifth, sixth and ninth steps of EPRI's ten step approach. The last module then calculates the project costs and benefits. It also provides a mean of sensitivity analysis, by changing the range of some basic inputs, such as costumer number, electricity price, and various inputs for benefits calculation.

Following diagram show the overall structure of SGCT.



Source: DOE (2011)

II.3.4.1 Project Characterization Module (PCM)

PCM provides a brief overview of SGCT, regarding the project's characteristics. Following is the PCM dialog box in SGCT.

Organization Name	1		
Project Name	1	_	
Project Start Year		_	
NERC Region	1		

Figure 15 PCM Project Information Screen

Source: DOE (2011)

The choice for NERC region could be modified to include all the ISGAN member countries in the future. However, current SGCT can only be specified for either NREC region or non-NERC region. After this specification of project characteristics, a couple of pages should be managed to choose technologies and functions with default mechanism provided. The diagrams for such choices are given in the dialog boxes below.

of assets. If a particular asset that is being ins	tailed does not appear	project. The choices on this page may represent a grou- explicitly in this list choose the asset group that is most termine the subset of functions that you will be able to	dosely related to the
Customer Assets		Iransmission Assets	
Customer BMS/Display/Portal	Definition	Phase Angle Regulating Transformer	Definition
Smart Appliances and Equipment (Oustomer)	Definition	Phasor Measurement Technology	Definition
Vehicle to Grid Charging Station	Definition	Software - Advanced Analysis/Visualization	Definition
AMI Assets		Other Assets	
AME/Smart Meters	Definition	Finhanced Fault Detection Technology	Definition
Distribution Assets		F Equipment Health Sensor	Definition
Advanced Interrupting Switch	Definition	Flexible Alternating Current Transmission	Definition
Controllable/regulating Inventor	Definition	Fault Current Limitar	Definition
Distribution Automation	Definition	Two-way Communications (high bandwidth)	Definition
Distribution Management System	Cefinition	- Very Low Impedance (High Temperature Superconducting) cables	Definition
Loading Monitor	Definition	Distributed Generator (diesel, PV, wind)	Definition
Microgrid Controller	Definition	l - Maria State State	Dension
		Electricity Storage device (e.g., battery, flywheel, PEV etc)	Definition

Figure 16 Choosing Assets in DOE's SGCT

Source: DOE (2011)



Figure 17 Choosing Functions in DOE's SGCT





Figure 18 Choosing Mechanisms in DOE's SGCT

Source: DOE (2011)
II.3.4.2 Data Input Module (DIM)

Each steps for the DIM is briefly explained in the following DIM main page.



Figure 19 DIM main Page

DIM Step I: Number of Customers, and Electricity Tariff Data

Directions: In the outlined section below the user should enter the appropriate electricity tariff and customer population data. The user should refer to the detailed directions in the section below for instruction on how to enter data. If pasting data from another source into these tables please use the "Paste Value" function to avoid changing cell formatting or pasting formulas. Once all data has been entered click the button below to finish this step and return to the DIM Main Page. After finishing this step a new page will become visible which contains all of the data entered in this step, the user can view this page to review all data entered in this step.

Finish Electricity Tariff and Customer Data Entry and Return to Main Page.

In this section the user should enter electricity tariff rates and information about the number of customers served. For Table 1 at least one energy rate must be entered for each customer class and at least one demand charge must be entered for the commercial and industrial customer class. If there is no demand charge for a certain customer class a zero should be entered in the Avg Demand Charge column of Table 1. Similarly for Table 2 a number must be entered for at least one sub-class for each customer class; if there are no customers served for a certain class a zero should be entered. Once the appropriate data has been entered in Tables 1 and 2 click the "Submit Rate and Number of Customers Served Data" button below to submit and store the entries.

	Average Energy Rate (\$/kWh)	Avg Demand Charge (\$/kw- month)		Customers Served
Residential Customer Class			Residential Customer Class	
Residential Rate Sub-Class 1			Residential Rate Sub-Class 1	
Residential Rate Sub-Class 2			Residential Rate Sub-Class 2	
Residential Rate Sub-Class 3			Residential Rate Sub-Class 3	
Residential Rate Sub-Class 4			Residential Rate Sub-Class 4	
Residential Rate Sub-Class 5			Residential Rate Sub-Class 5	
Average Residential Rate			All Residential Classes	
Commercial Customer Class			Commercial Customer Class	
Commercial Rate Sub-Class 1			Commercial Rate Sub-Class 1	
Commercial Rate Sub-Class 2			Commercial Rate Sub-Class 2	
Commercial Rate Sub-Class 3			Commercial Rate Sub-Class 3	
Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4			Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4	
Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5			Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5	
Average Commercial Rate			All Commercial Classes	
ndustrial Customer Class			Industrial Customer Class	
Industrial Sub-Class 1			Industrial Sub-Class 1	
Industrial Sub-Class 2			Industrial Sub-Class 2	
Industrial Sub-Class 3			Industrial Sub-Class 3	
Industrial Sub-Class 4			Industrial Sub-Class 4	
Industrial Sub-Class 5			Industrial Sub-Class 5	
Average Industrial Rate			All Industrial Classes	
Average Retail Electricity Rate			All Customer Classes	

Figure 20 DIM Step 1

DIM Step II: Enter Benefit Calculation Input Data

Directions: Use the table below to enter the project data that will be used to calculate benefits. All inputs are grouped according to the benefits they are used to calculate. For each input the user must enter data for all baseline years and data for at least one project year before being able to submit entries and complete this step. When all data has been entered click the blue button at the bottom of the table to submit and store the data entries. There are three topics concerning this step that deserve special attention: Optional Inputs, Default Values, and "Mirror" Inputs. Click the buttons below to learn more about each of these important topics.

ptional Inputs Default Values	"Mirror" Inputs				Data entry
Benefit	Optional Input On/Off Buttons	Input Name	Input Description	Type of Input	Default Value
Deferred Generation Capacity Investments	Use Optional Inputs	Price of Capacity at Annual Peak	The price paid for peak capacity (\$/MW), which represents the capital expenditures for conventional generation.	Assumption/Estimate	Use Default
Reduced Ancillary Service Cost	Use Optional Inputs	Ancillary Services Cost	Total annual cost of ancillary services. Ancillary services, including spinning reserve and frequency regulation, could be reduced if: generators could more closely follow load; peak load on the system was reduced; power factor, voltage, and VAR control were improved; or information available to grid operators were improved.	Impact Metric Data	N/A
		Distribution Feeder Load	Average apparent power readings for all feeders impacted by the project. This input will be used to calculate electricity losses so feeders that have been made more efficient or feeders that have had peak or average loadings decreased should be included, if substations have been made more efficienct the average power level of the substation(s) should be input. Information should be based on hourly loads.	Impact Metric Data	N/A
Reduced Electricity Losses		Distribution Losses	Average losses for the portion of the distribution system impacted by the project expressed as a percentage of total loading. This can be modeled or calculated.	Impact Metric Data	N/A
		Transmission Line Load	Average apparent power readings for all lines impacted by the project. This information will be used to calculate electricity losses so lines over which losses could be reduced as a result of the project should be included. Information should be based on hourly loads.	Impact Metric Data	N/A
		Transmission Losses	Average losses for the portion of the transmission system impacted by the project expresses as a percentage of total loading. This can be modeled or calculated.	Impact Metric Data	N/A
		Average Price of Wholesale Energy	Average wholesale market price of electricity. This input will be used to monetize electricity losses.	Assumption/Estimate	Use Default

Figure 21 DIM Step 2

Unit								Project		
Unit	Baseline 2010	Baseline 2011	Baseline 2012	Baseline 2013	Baseline 2014	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
\$/MW	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00	\$ 95,700.00
\$	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1				
MVA	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	90.00				
%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%				
MVA		-		-	-					



Figure 22 Data Input Sheet Data Entry Cells

Step III: Enter Project C	ost Data						
Directions: In this page the user can enter value cost benefit analysis. The user can e the cost evenly over the spending period entered click the blue button at the botto	enter total costs, init Or the user can ent	tial and final spending ye ter a customized cost sch	ears, and interest rate an	d the tool will amortize			
Project Start Year	yr	2010					
Discount Rate	%	6%					
Use Custom Cost Schedule	Yes/No	Yes					
Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending							
Total Captital Cost of Project		8 8000,000					
Interest Rate							
Yearly Amortized Payment							
Custom Cost Schedule						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Additional Years
Year		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Tears
Capital (\$)							
	Fin	nish Cost Data Entry	and Return to Mai	n Page			

Figure 23 DIM Step 3

Source: DOE (2011)

-it	Bateline 2010				Addition
uit i	Bateline 2010				Data
_		Baseline 2011	Baseline 2012	Baseline 2013	Baseline 2014
	95700	95700	95700	95700	95750
	1	1	1	1	1
	100	100	100	100	200
	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0.039446172	0.04294437	0.048290401	0.048366736	0.047950968
	30000	10000	10000	10000	10000
	20	20	20	20	20
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	. 0	0	0	0
	520	5.20	5.20	520	\$20
	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000
	36000	36000	36000	36000	36000
		C.03 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 20000 C.039445372 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.0394572 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.039772 C.0397772 C.0397772 C.0397772 C.0397772 C.0397772 C.03977772 C.039777777777777777777777777777777777777	0.03 0.03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.031/0000 0 200 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 330 3.26 3000 3000	0.03 0.03 0.03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.04294417 0.044290401 100000 100000 100000 20 20 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 330 3.203 3.26 33000 30000 5000	0.03 0.03 0.09 0.03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.04294437 0.048296402 0.048396796 0.048396796 0.048396796 100000 120000 120000 120000 120000 0 0 20 20 20 20 20 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Figure 24 DIM Step 4

II.3.4.3 Computational Module (CM)

The Computational Module is said to be the calculation engine of the SGCT (DOE, 2011). The primary purpose of the CM is to transform the input data either from the DIM default values or from user defined inputs into the costs and benefits of the smart grid project being analyzed. According to DOE (2011), default values are based on the following sources:

- ✓ EIA (Annual Energy Outlook 2009, Form 861, Form 411, etc.)
- ✓ Global Energy Decisions, Energy Velocity (FERC Form 714, etc.)
- ✓ SNL (FERC Form 1, etc.)
- ✓ Public filings, rate cases (PUC, FERC, ISO, etc.)

Then this computation module, CM, calculates costs and benefits on a yearly basis and presents summaries of these results to the user in tabular and graphical formats.

Computational Module (CM) Main Page

Instructions

Welcome to the Computational Module (CM) phase of the Smart Grid Computational Tool. The CM is the calculation engine of the tool, it crunches the numbers and generates the output. The CM also allows the user to complete a sensitivity analysis if desired. Before running the CM the user can review their inputs and the first five years of projected inputs using the tables below. If the user wishes to change any inputs they can return to the PDIM by clicking the arrow to the right of this directions box. Running the CM with Reference Inputs - To run the CM with the inputs that were entered in the DIM phase, simply click the button in the "Reference Case" section that says "Run CM with Reference Case Inputs". The CM will take about 20 seconds to complete the analysis. Once the analysis is complete the results can be viewed by clicking the "View Reference Case Results" button. Running the directions above. To run a sensitivity analysis the CM should be run with the reference case inputs by following the directions above. To run a sensitivity analysis first change the High and Low sensitivity ranges of the desired inputs by using the toggles that are to the right of every input. After all of the desired sensitivity ranges have been set click the button in the "Sensitivity Analysis's section that says "Run CM with Sensitivity Case Inputs". The CM will take about a minute to complete the analysis. Once the analysis is complete the results can be viewed by clicking the "View Sensitivity Results" button. All of the sensitivity ranges can be reset to 100% by clicking the button above the toggle switches that says "Reset all values to 100%".



Figure 25 CM Main Page

Source: DOE (2011)

Reference Case

Run CM with Reference Case Inputs			erence Case sults	
Sensitivity Analysis				
Run CM with Sensitivity Case Inputs		View Sens	itivity Results	
		Re	eset all values to 100%	6
Input Name	Unit	1	Select % using toggi	
Number of Customers Residential Rate Sub-Class 1	#	100%	Reference 100%	High 100%
lumber of Customers Residential Rate Sub-Class 2	#	100%	100%	100%
umber of Customers Residential Rate Sub-Class 3	#	100%	100%	100%
umber of Customers Residential Rate Sub-Class 4	#	100%	100%	100%
umber of Customers Residential Rate Sub-Class 5	#	100%	100%	100%
umber of Customers All Residential Classes	#	100%	100%	100%
Jumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 1	#	100%	100%	100%
Jumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 2	Ŧ	100%	100%	100%
Jumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 3	Ħ	100%	100%	100%
terriner of endlowing a sector of the same endlow		100%	100%	100%
	Ħ.			
Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4	#	100%	100%	100%
iumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4 Jumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5			100% 100%	100% 100%
iumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4 iumber of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5 iumber of Customers All Commercial Classes iumber of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 1	#	100%		
Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4 Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5 Number of Customers All Commercial Classes Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 1	# #	100% 100%	100%	100%
Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4 Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5 Number of Customers All Commercial Classes Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 1 Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 2	स स स	100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100%
Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4 Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5 Number of Customers All Commercial Classes Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 1 Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 2 Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 3 Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	10096 10096 10096 10096 10096
Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 4 Number of Customers Commercial Rate Sub-Class 5 Number of Customers All Commercial Classes Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 1 Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 2 Number of Customers Industrial Sub-Class 3	म म म म म म	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%

Figure 26 CM Main Page

Task III: Development of Toolkits to Evaluate Benefit-Costs

Subtask 3.1: Development of Simplified cost-benefits analysis tool Subtask 3.2: Technical Analysis of current BCA took-kit and Modification of Simplified tool-kit

III.1 Development of Simplified Cost-Benefit Analysis Tool

III.1.1 Overview

In this chapter, a simplified cost-benefit analysis tool is being developed taking SGCT of DOE as a benchmark tool kit. As will be discussed later, this tool kit has various advantages over other tools: First, this tool is open to public and anyone can take a look inside of the model deep enough to examine the visual basic application modules. JRCEU, McKinsey models were once discussed in Annex III before for any potential utilization for ISGAN member countries' tool kit. However, members acknowledge the fact that JRC works on excel based format and there seems to be not much difference between JRC's work and DOE. The difference lies in the fact that JRC never opened up the details of the functionalities and sample calculation of BC in their whole work process. McKinsey software was discussed but it is not open to public. Rather it is a commercial package with no specific advantage over to SGCT of DOE. Detailed engine is not fully explained and the scope of the analysis the tool kit provides does not seem to be very useful (Nigris 2012, Kim 2013).

The new tool kit being developed is named for the time as 'Smart Grid BCA Toolkit Revised by EML' for convenience. Through the replication process, a lot of details have been identified, which, otherwise, would not have been known to us. Many of the parameters utilized in the process of benefit calculation may be required to be collected from outside in the future, reflecting the region specific characteristics. Some of the default values provided by SGCT, although they are only for USA cases (refer to accompanying manual), may also be useful until those detailed information becomes available for ISGAN member countries even when they don't have them. As discussed above at III.2.24, it is being reminded again that there are at least 12 smart grid projects currently being conducted in USA, and those projects are starting to produce some detailed information which might be potentially utilized by current SGCT.

Not only those advantages, there are many interesting researches being conducted around the world and the work results could be very useful sources of updating this replication effort in the future, once this replication process allows us to identify the pros and cons of the current model.

III.1.2 Detailed Architecture of DIM in Replicated Tool Kit

After the separation of UI and data, it is possible for us to design flexible and extensible UI at our disposal. For example, if data changes to new data or edits some data, UI does not have to be designed.

Since the controls in SGCT is fixed already by predefined data set, but controls in our program are created from data when program begins.

DB structure can be summarized as is shown below. Contents in the colored boxes in the following diagram presents some of data information included in several files.

- ✓ Data in blue box are PC (Project Characterization) data which consist of definition of assets, functions and benefits. PC data is defined in 'sys-def.xml'.
- ✓ Data in green box are defined data to calculate benefit and it defined in 'input-def.xml'.
- ✓ Data in brown boxes are rearranged default values and it is defined in 'defulat-values.xlsx.
- ✓ Lastly, data in black box is saved information data of project and it is defined in 'projectdef.xml'.

Original default values are hidden in SGCT. User can save and load those data information which is being utilized by the software program.



Figure 27 Detailed Architecture of DIM in Replicated Tool Kit

At the accompanying manual, each of the component boxes in the above diagram are presented in detail for the information it contains.

III.1.3 A Brief Comparison to SGCT and our program

There are basically three modules in SGCT, which are:

- 1. Project Characterization Module (PCM)
- 2. Data Input Module (DIM)

3. Computational Module (CM)

In the following, each of the modules indicated above will be compared to show its original form of SGCT and our Replicated Tool Kit.

III.1.3.1 Comparison of PCM in SGCT and our program

First, four dialog boxes from PCM are compiled in a single dialog box in the following page.

sase input project information below.		of smeth. If a particular asset that a being too miter being randod. The smeth that are those	talled does not appear a on an Tra page will dog	opert. The thorous on the page may represent a p opticity in the last choice the asset group that a re- respective the subject of Astronom that you will be object	out climaty related to 1 to of come from on the
Organization Name		Customer Assets		Inaconanalisti Assetta	
Project Name					
Project Start Year		C Datawa Shiji Supire/Pone	Infaine	C thank legit Regulating Transformer	- berreen
		C load splanes ad based Eastern)	Delater	T Had Handerer Tetralog	Settlan.
MERC Region		F setals to and changing thattern	Delater	C Silvery - Adversal Assister/Stanicotory	Service
		Abil Assets		Other, Asserts	
here tot	Test	Field/scar Hotes	Infaite	The transmit Paul Detectory Technology	Infeature
ER ER		Bishtibution.Assets		Couport Half Second	Identer
are 28 PCM Project Inform	nation Screen	Findercol Managing Indati	Dalaster	 Markin Alerand Carel Transmum Symme EncTo) Sector 	- Buffreion
		Constanting-lang header	Defination	C Paul Danage Linear	2416ar
		Constant Annut	Infature	The way Communities (high log dwdfr)	- Baltweet
		Constantion Management Dystem	Infattor	- Very Low Impetance High Temperature Teamcomberring) Lakee	34040
		T Liadeg Monte	Unitation	Combuter Graver sheet, PV, while	Bull House
		E Hongol controller	Delation	- Electricity Storage develop in d. betters	berner
				Revenues PEY VES	
		(Presed		14 T	
lease select al functions that you expect the smart gifty unclose sick the builton to the right of the function. Carban	i functions, may be childed	C.M. Channel Non-Chanterson II Non-Channel Name and State Chanterson (State State State Instrument and State Chanterson (State State State State State State State State State State State	WDe makes: In the particular state	set Selection Screen	of the analysis have a
 Constraints Association of the state of the state of the state The state of the state of the state of the state of the state The state of the state of the state of the state of the state (1) and its of the state of the state of the state of the state (1) and its of the state of the state of the state of the state (1) and its of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state (1) and its of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state (1) and its of the state of the stat	i functions, may be childed	get a transfermation Performance describes specificative traveauch functions construction of the Specification and the Specification data	WDe makes: In the particular state	ge for applicable interfacement for each analysis function interface with a large fact for a million for any	of the analysis have a
None sets t al functions that you expect the smart gifts function sizk the button to the right of the function. Carban	i functions, may be childed	Statistics in the specificity for each function instance of the specificity for each function protocol of the specific and sockers in the this project. Hence swepper is all evolved face and policy respectively.	vitte nation in the pa new distinguistics also a applications	ge for applicable interfacement for each analysis function interface with a large fact for a million for any	of the ended taxes and take before pro-
Notes select al functions that visu expect the smart gridp function dick the bactors is the right of the function. Certain (paged out) because the recention y project assists were n	i Erctore may be diabled of indicated on the preceding page	Statistics in the specificity for each function instance of the specificity for each function protocol of the specific and sockers in the this project. Hence swepper is all evolved face and policy respectively.	With makes in the particular distribution of the first state of the st	ge fin applicable interfamentes for sach analise f fances researches well. Sach fall en this samp enteres for an amortes for sach function. Six care to repropte to al en	of the ended lucito and bits before pro-
have sets all functions that was expect the smart grip lander with the batters to the right of the function. Cardin grand out/because the commany project assists were assessed out/because the commany project assists	i functione may be disabled on indicated on the preceding page	PLA Classe Subsection Performent interdex specificate travelacit function performance of the Specific and textoretics the training of the specific and several travelacity to the specific and the specific and the specific travelacity of the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and the specific and	With makes in the particular distribution of the first state of the st	je dre upplicite mechanism for kuch analise banks spectrum will. Each dat in the supportunities or manner. We ward function. So care to mengate the direc- tion of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state o	of the ended taxes and take before pro-
Hence select of functions that you expect the organization and/or with the battery to the right of the function. Carding preved out/because the occursion project assists were in the comparison of the company project assists were in the company occursion of the company of the company in the company occursion of the company of the company in the company occursion of the company of the company in the company occursion of the company of the company in the company occursion of the company of the company in the company occursion of the company of the company is the company of the company occursion of the company is the company of the company occursion of the company is the company of the company occursion of the company of the company is the company of the company of the company of the company of the company is the company of the company of the company of the company of the company is the company of the company of the company of the company of the company is the company of the company of the company of the company of the company is the company of the company of the company of the company of the company is the company of the company is the company of the company is the company of	Services may be doubled on indicated on the preceding page services Setvices	City Classes Neurolesians Performance describes specification for each former in the former in the second of the second former in the former in the former integration of the result of the result of the second former integration Result-Time Load Measurement & Machine Time Time Load Measurement & Machine Time Time Time Time Time Time Time Tim	With makes in the particular distribution of the first sector of the sec	je dre upplicite mechanism for kuch analise banks spectrum will. Each dat in the support and the manners for and the data and the integrate for all or species () [1000001] [1000001]	of the ended taxes and take before pro-
Hence select of functions that you expect the ornart gritp and/or able the builders to the right of the function. Carbie grand out/because the occursion project assists were in the project occurs of the selection of the selection of the project occurs of the selection of the selection of the project occurs of the selection of the selection of the project occurs of the selection of the selection of the project occurs of the selection of the sele	Geneticers may be challed or inducted on the preceding page or inducted on the preceding page or induced or induced	Point Thread Montaines Pointerum internets specification of the Section	of the industry. In the part many disk downloads the is asked of a spin who many enagements of the part of the industry of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the	je dre upplicite mechanism for kuch analise banks spectrum will. Each dat in the support and the manners for and the data and the integrate for all or species () [1000001] [1000001]	of the ended taxes and take before pro-
Heave select of functions that you expect the ornart gridp and/or Add the buildon to the right of the function. Carbon grand out/because the occursion project assists were 1. Project and the second and project assists 2. Project and the second and the second assists 3. Project assists and the second and the second assists 4. Project assists and the second assists 4. Project assists and the second assists and the second assists 4. Project assists and the second assists and the second assists 4. Project assists and the second assists and the second assists and the second assists 4. Project assists and the second assists and th	Geneticers may be challed or inducted on the preceding page or inducted on the preceding page or induces or induces	Control Consistence Section and the Acceleration and the Accele	of the industry. In the part many disk downloads the is asked of a spin who many enagements of the part of the industry of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the part of the	je dre upplicite mechanism for kuch analise banks spectrum will. Each dat in the support and the manners for and the data and the integrate for all or species () [1000001] [1000001]	
tesse select of functions that you expect the smart gridp and/or skill the batters to the right of the function. Carbo grand out/becaute the occursion project assists where 1 Proceedings and the selection of 2 Proceedings and the selection 3 Proceedings and the selection 4 Proceedings and the selection 5 Proceedings and the selection	6 Greaters may be challed on inducted on the preceding page Settems Settems Settems Settems Settems Settems Settems Settems	Construction and the specificity two such denses the product the specificity two such denses the product the sector such denses the product the sector such denses the sector such as the sector such	vita nation o vite pa new statutanet tra- salest al applicate read- anagument of the construction of the construction of the	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before pro-
have sets of A functions that you expect the smart girls planters dut the builds in the hard property of the function. Surgery appeal of the builds in the resultance property asisteme even of the function of the set of	6 Greations may be clusted on induced on the preceding page Setteme Determine Setteme Setteme Setteme Setteme Setteme Setteme Setteme	Control Consistence Section and the Acceleration and the Accele	vita nation o vite pa new statutanet tra- salest al applicate read- anagument of the construction of the construction of the	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	
Heade select of functions that you expect the ornert gritp sector skit the busitor is the warget of the function. Carlies greated with bosons are recentling project assists were 1 If the sector sector 2 If the sector sector 3 If the sector sector 4 If the sector sector 5 If the sector sector 5 If the sector sector 6 If the sector sector 7 If the sector sector 8 If the sector sector 9 If the sector sector 9 If the sector sector 9 If the sector sector 9 If the sector sector sector sectors 9 If the sector sector sector sector sector sectors 9 If the sector se	6 Greaters may be challed on indicated on the preceding page Services Services Services Services Services Services Services Services Services Services Services Services Services	Child Channel Norchestere II Producement interesting appendixed, have each function of the function and transformation with the product of the service of the method of the service of the servi	vita nation o vite pa new statutanet tra- salest al applicate read- anagument of the construction of the construction of the	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before pro-
header select of functions that you expect the orner of the sector skit the baction is the right of the function. Carlies greated out because the recentling project assists: even 1 F - Carlies and the sector of the function 2 F - Materia and the sector of the function 3 F - Materia framework 4 F - Carlies and the sector of 5 F - Materia framework 5 F - Materia framework and the sector of 6 F - Automatic framework and the sector of 6 F - Automatic framework and the sector of 9 F - Carlies and the sector of the sector of 9 F - Carlies and the sector of the sector of 9 F - Carlies and the sector of the sector of 9 F - Carlies and the sector of the sector of the sector of 9 F - Carlies and the sector of the s	6 Greaters may be challed on indicated on the preceding page Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service Service	Before the second	with radian. In the particular many set of the particular mark in th	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before prove
base sets: di functions trat sour-opert die onant della fantos del tra buttor in termination di tra functione della productiona del transmissione del constante este 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	i functione may be challed on information on the proceeding page Selence Selence Selence Selence Selence Selence Selence Selence Selence Selence	Bedravers insurts specificity two each function Bedravers insurts specificity two each function bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from the specificity of the statement Beach-Time Load Measurement & Mea Beach-Time Load Measurement & Measurement Beach-Time Load	with radian. In the particular many set of the particular mark in th	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before pro-
here sets al functions that you expect the ensure project assessed with the bacters in the register of the function. Codes granded with the bacters is the register of the function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project assesses are converted as a function of the ensure project as a function of the ensure of the ensure e	6 Greations may be challed initialized on the preceding page Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings Settings	Bedravers insurts specificity two each function Bedravers insurts specificity two each function bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from the specificity of the statement Beach-Time Load Measurement & Mea Beach-Time Load Measurement & Measurement Beach-Time Load	with radian. In the particular many set of the particular mark in th	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before prove
here sets at A functions that you expect the error of picture index of the builds in the negative of the function. Codes grades of the consumery project dualities electric and the constant of the c	6 Greations may be challed initialized on the preceding page Settors Orient Settors Setors S	Bedravers insurts specificity two each function Bedravers insurts specificity two each function bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from the specificity of the statement Beach-Time Load Measurement & Mea Beach-Time Load Measurement & Measurement Beach-Time Load	with radian. In the particular many set of the particular mark in th	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before prove
Header Select of Functions: That you expect the orman price prices with the builds in the warph of the function. Codes greated with books of the recommising properties with the P = 1 Motion provide the most selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion provide the most selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion provide the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion provide the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion provide the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion provide the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion of the selection of the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion of the selection of the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion of the selection of the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion of the selection of the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion of the selection of the selection of the selection of the P = 1 Motion of the selection	6 Greaters may be challed initialized on the preceding page Setters Sette	Bedravers insurts specificity two each function Bedravers insurts specificity two each function bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from receive to all exploring the bedravers from the specificity of the statement Beach-Time Load Measurement & Mea Beach-Time Load Measurement & Measurement Beach-Time Load	with radian. In the particular many set of the particular mark in th	portion applicable mechanismics for such a radiust baseds management water. Data that in the composition and an ensurement for such Concentration and an ensurement of the such Concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and an ensurement of the such concentration and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and ensurement of the such concentration and and and and and and and and and an	of the and data before prove
here sets at A functions that you expect the error of picture index of the builds in the negative of the function. Codes grades of the consumery project dualities electric and the constant of the c	6 Greations may be challed initialized on the preceding page Settors Orient Settors Setors S	Control Construction Section of the Section and Section Section and S		e de aplicate recharere le suit evalue face nectres vel. Cattal en la construction de marco le suit crede. Se construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de rectanges de la construction de la construction nard assiste e result internet de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la constructio	of the and data before prove
head sets of a functions that you expect the onset of the function did the builds in the weight of the function. Codes greated with books of the consultance project dualities were 1 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the function of the 2 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the sets of the 3 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the sets of the 3 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the sets of the 3 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the 3 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the 3 If the sets of the sets of the sets of the 3 If the sets of the sets of the 3 If th	6 Greaters may be challed initialized on the preceding page Setters Sette	Control Considered Section and the Se		a for applicitly trachateres for each analysis factors and analysis factors are an increased and analysis for all of the second se	Possible fechanisms
	6 Greaters may be challed initialized on the preceding page Setters Sette	Control Considered Section and the Se		e de aplicate recharere le suit evalue face nectres vel. Cattal en la construction de marco le suit crede. Se construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de rectanges de la construction de la construction nard assiste e result internet de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la constructio	Possible fechanisms

Project Information Project Name Test Organization Test? Start Vear 2014 NERC Region M	
. 25 YEAR NEW THE REPORT OF A CONTRACT STREAM THE TABLE STATE STREAM THE ST	CC Complete PCS
ssets Functions	Mechanisms
AMI Assets Adaptive Protection	Automated Voltage and VAR Control
AMUSmart Maters Automated Feeder and Une 5	
Automated Islanding and Rec	(A) subside system boker tactor and volvage reducing the amount of volvage another service reduced
Customer Assets Automated voltage and VAR	T
Customer EMS/Display/Portal	
Smart Appliances and Equipment (Customer)	T vegeces wereas accounted with relation and relations the relation of the relation
Vehicle to Grid Charging Station	
Distribution Assets	Shifts demand from peak time to reduce distribution peak load
Stephanoed Fault Protection	Shifts demand from peak time to reduce transmission peak load
Advanced Interrupting Switch Fault Current Limiting	Shifts demand from peak time to reduce generation peak capacity inquired
Controllablerregulating Inverter	Shifts demand from peak time to reduce required anciPary services related to peak load
Otembutan Automation Otembutan Automation Otembutan Management System Otembutan Management System	& Management Dptimizes load shape through customer pricing and incentives to reduce electricity losses
Loading Monitor	Reduces emissions from carbon based fuel due to losses
Storing Electricity for Later Lik	e Decreases loading on congested transmission pathways
Microgrid Controller	cation, and Control 🔅 Provides customer with information which encourages alternate usage patterns or conservation resultin
Other Assets	Enhanced Fault Protection
Distributed Generator (diese), PV, wind)	
Biechicity Storage device (e.g., battery, flywheel, PEV etc)	Reduces stress on equipment through faster fault detection or reduced reciping
Enhanced Fault Detection Technology	Reduces or eliminates reclosing for fault clearing
Guipment Health Sensor	Detects and clears hard-to-detect faults more precisely and quickly to reduce scope of outage
Bault Current Limiter	Detects and Clears high impedance faults more precisely and quickly to reduce the frequency and seve
Plavible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) Device	Power Flow Control
Two-way Communications (high bandwidth)	Diverts power to as to avoid overloading lines or equipment
Very Low Impedance (High Temperature Superconducting) cables	Reduces emissions from carbon based fuel due to losses
Transmission Assets	Controls power flow around congested system wement
	- 32

Figure 32 Project Information and Asset/ Function/Mechanism Selection Screen (Replicated Tool Kit)

The SCGT selects the benefits that the smart grid project should yield, given the assets, functions, and mechanisms user have selected. The PCM Benefits Screen displays related benefits.

Optionized Garantator Operation	Explanation	Reduced Electricity Losses	Explanation
Definited Generation Capacity Universities to	Explanation	Rentated Electricity Cont.	Explanation
teduced Ancillary Service Cost	Explanation	Reduced Surfameri Outoges	Explanation
educed Congestion Colif.	Explanation	Reclared Major Detagne	Explanation
elerred Transmission Capacity Investments	Explanation	Reduced Restautors Cost	Explanation
Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments	Explanation	Reduced Monestory Outages	Explanation
archited Edupment Failures	Explanation	Reduced Sage and Swith	Explanation
eduard TRD Equipment Maintenance Cost	Explanation	Reduced CO2 Emissions	Explanation
ed voet TSD Operations Cost	Explanation	Reduced SOv, NOv, and PM-10 Emissions	Explanation
ieduced Meller Reading Conc	Explanation	Reduced OI (leage (not incrimitized)	Explanation
educed Electricity Trioff	Explanation	Reducted Widewide Blackholt	Explanation

Figure 33 PCM Benefits Screen (DOE SGCT)

Following dialog box is from Replicated Tool Kit – left hand side of the box is still to be incorporated with further information on the detailed asset, function, mechanism and benefits. Current diagram is simple example of what it would be after the details are implemented in the code.

	Benefits Review City	ŕ
	Reduced Ancillary Service Cost	
	Defend December Capacity Developments	
	Defend Service Goody (wettern)	
	Defend future cauty increases	
	Optimized Generator Operation	
and the second se	Rebard COL Detropoly	
	Akdaryd Congester Cost	
	Rentwood (Stationry Cost)	
	Reduced Decemby Lines	
	Reduced Disputs First	
	Adduced Epidpment Sphore	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Radiand Wager Chilleger	
and a second second second	Repaired Managery Cont	
	Reduced Westernary Cutages	
	Adduced Of Dauge mit Addamatic	
I Distantia di Contra	Second Secondary Cold	
	Bardund Sigk and SimPl	
	Reduced SOL NOL and PRI-23 Designed	
1 1 1	Reduced Summer Relative	
	Reduced TAD Equipment Internation 2207	
	Reduced (1922 Operations Gel)	
	Repaird William are Earling)	

Figure 34 Benefits Screen (Replicated Tool Kit)

III.1.3.2 Comparison of DIM in SGCT and our program

In DIM Step I, the user is required to enter information on electricity tariff and customer population. This data entry is required regardless of which benefits were enabled by the PCM because it used in many of the benefit calculations. The two tables are the Electricity Rates by Customer Class and the Number of Customers by Class tables, or Table 1 and 2 respectively in upper left figure in the following table.



The above four dialog boxes are now compiled in a single box presented in the following in Replicated Tool Kit.

						MainV	Andow											
Customers & 1	ariff																	Complete DIM
Résidential Customer	Class				Commercial Custor	ner Clava					industr	ial Custon	ser Class					
	Avarage Energy R kWhi	Rate(S/ x	werage Demand arge(\$/kWmonth)	Customers		Average Energy Rate(\$) WWh(Average Der Charge(\$/kW		Customer	rs Served	1.00110			age Energy kwhi	Rate(\$/	Average 1 Charge(\$/k	Demand (Wmonth)	Customers Serve
Sub-Class 1	5	2		1	Sub-Class 1	9	4		2		54	ub-Class 1	3					7
Sub-Class 2	1	з		4	Sub-Cless Z	0	6		0		5	vb-Class 2	0			<u> </u>		0
Sub-Class II	0	0		0	Sub-Cless 3	0	0	_	0			ub-Class 3	0			<u>i</u>		0
	0	0		0		0	0	_	0				0			0		0
Sub-Class 4	ũ				Sub-Class 4			_		-		ub-Class 4						
Sub-Class 5	0	Û		0	Sub-Class 5	0	0		0		54	ub-Class 5	0			1.		0
Average Rate:	2.71428571428	8571	25	Totab	7 Average Rate	0	4		Tota	8.2	As	erage Rate		3		5	k.	Total 7
Cathor Recolledian Contact	ni -				Enter Project Cost Data													
Enter Escalation Factor	ni -				Enter Project Cost Data													
	ctor D	Setup Visio	a taka	2	Discount Rate	3	1.5											
Escalation Fa	And a second sec	Default Valu		e	Discount Rate													
Escalation Fa	And a second sec	2	0.2		Use Custom Cost Schedule	No	-											
Escalation Fa	And a second sec				Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending	No 2013	s yr											
Escalation Fa Population Growth Facto Load Growth Factor	Description	2	0.2	*	Use Custom Cost Schedule	No 2013 2034	-											
Escalation Fa Population Growth Facto Load Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor	Description Description	8 8 8	0.2 0.8 2.7	5	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending	No 2013	, a pr											
Escalation Fa Population Growth Facto Load Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor	Description	8 8 8 8	0.2 1.8 2.7	*	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending	No 2013 2034	, a pr											
Escalation Fa Population Growth Factor Load Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor	Description Description	8 8 8	0.2 0.8 2.7	5	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project	No 2013 2034 100	, a pr											
Escalation Fa Population Growth Factor Load Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor Final Year of Benefits	Description Description Description Description Description	□ 8 8 8 8	0.2 118 2.7 2.3 2090	5 5 5	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate	No 2013 2034 100 4	yr yr yr S											
Escalation Fa copulation Growth Factor coad Growth Factor inergy Price Factor inel Year of Benefits Enter Benefits C	Description Description Description Description Description	□ 8 8 8 8	0.2 0.8 2.7 3.3 2090		Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate	No 2013 2034 100 4	рт рт 5 5 5	Dela II	Banafred	Saudinut	Bacelou2	(scina) (Raselinad	Project)	Indext 1	iniet3 D	volacità : Pro	nard.
Escalation Fa topulation Growth Factor coad Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor Final Year of Benefits Enter: Benefit: C Benefit	r Description Description Description Description Description	□ 8 8 8 8	0.2 0.8 2.7 3.3 2090	5 5 5	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Rinal Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment	No 2013 2034 100 4	yr yr yr S	Default		Bassifret	Baseline2 I		Baseline4	Project0 F	Projecti F		roject3 Pro	2)4754
Escalation Fa Appulation Growth Factor sconomic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor Final Year of Benefits Enter Benefit C Benefit Reduced Ancillary Sanic	Description Description Description Description Description Description Description Description aculation Ing w Cost	9 9 9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.2 0.6 2.7 2.3 2090 Coptor	s s yr Input Name Jandlary Servi	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Rinal Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment	No 2013 2034 100 4	pr pr S S S S	Default	5	4			Baseline4	Project0 F Z 0 7 5		0	roject3 Pro G 2	ojačt4
Escalation Fa Appulation Growth Factor coad Growth Factor inergy Price Factor inal Year of Benefits Enter Benefits Deferred Distribution Ca Deferred Distribution Ca	Description Description Description Description Description Description Description description se Cost spacity investment	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1	0.2 0.6 2.7 2.3 2090 Coptor	input Name Andlary Servi Capital Canyo	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment	No 2013 2034 100 4	yr yr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ yr	Default	5 1	4	5 2	1	Bazeline4 I I	Project0 5 2 0 7 5 3 T	0	0	roject3 Pro 0 2 4	ijecs4
Escalation Fa opulation Growth Factor oad Growth Factor conomic Inflation Factor inal Year of Benefits Enter Benefit C Benefit Reduced Ancillary Samic Deferred Distribution Co Deferred Distribution Co Reduced Sustained Cuts	Description Description Description Description Description Description Description alculation Ing actulation Ing actulation Ing actulation Ing actulation Ing	₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽	02 8.6 2.7 2.3 2030 2030	Input Name Andilary Servi Capital Canyo Distribution in SAIDI Oystem	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment ces Cost og Charge of Distribution Upgrade Insetment Tane Deferred	No 2013 2034 100 4	yr pr 5 5 5 5 yr 1 10dex		5 1 9 0	4 2 5 5	3 2 5 1 7 2 7 3			2 0 7 5 5 1 2 0	2	0 3 3 0	roj+c13 Pro 0 2 4 0	
Escalation Fa lopulation Growth Factor outd Growth Factor sconomic Inflation Factor imal Year of Benefits Enter Benefits Defend Distribution Co Defend Distribution Co Defended Distribution Co Defended Distribution Co Reduced Sustained Outs Reduced Sustained Outs Reduced Sustained Outs	Description	Ø Ø Ø Ø Put Data ts ts ts ts ts	0.2 0.6 2.7 2.3 2030 Coption 4 4	Input Name Antilary Servi Captal Carrys Distribution in SalDi (system Value of Servi	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Pinal Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment ces Cost g Charge of Distribution Upgrade westmant Time Defended ce - Residential	No 2013 2034 100 4	yr yr \$ % \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	α	5 1 8 0 3	4 2 5 5 4	3 2 5 1 7 2 7 3 5 2			2 0 7 5 5 1 2 0 3 7	0 0 4 2 0 0	0 3 3 0 0	0 2 4 0 0	bject4
Escalation Fa Appulation Growth Factor coad Growth Factor sconomic Inflation Factor anal Year of Benefits Enter Benefits Defendit Defendit Distribution Co Defend Distribution Co Defend Distribution Co Reduced Sustained Cuts Reduced Sustained Cuts Reduced Sustained Cuts	Description Description Description Description Description Description Description Description desculation Imp se Cost specify investment ages actuated Wa ages, Reduced Wa ages, Reduced Wa	P P P P P P P P P P P P P	0.2 0.6 2.7 2.3 2030 2030 2030 2030 2040 4 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Input Name Andilary Servi Capital Canys Distribution in SAID: Oystem Value of Servi Value of Servi	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment Ces Cost ng Charge of Distribution Upgrade Insettment Tane Defended Ce - Residential Ce - Commercial	No 2013 2034 100 4	yr yr S S S Unit S S Unit S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		5 1 9 0	4 2 5 5 4	3 2 5 1 7 2 7 3 5 2 2 6			2 0 7 5 5 1 2 0	1 0 1 2 1 0 1 0	0 3 3 0 0	0 2 4 0 0	njecti
Escalation Fa Population Growth Factor Load Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor Final Year of Benefits C Enter Benefits C Enter Benefits Defended Castaned Casta Reduced Sustained Casta Reduced Sustained Casta Reduced Sustained Casta Reduced Sustained Casta Reduced Sustained Casta Reduced Sustained Casta	Description Description Description Description Description Description Description Description description se Cost spacity investment spec Reduced Mo spec Reduc	P P P P P P P P P P P P P	02 8.6 27 33 2030 2030 4 4 5 5	Input Name Andlary Servi Capital Canye Distribution in SAUD (system) Value of Servi Value of Servi Value of Servi	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment Ces Cost Interest Table Ces Cost Ces Cost Ces Residential Ce - Residential Ce - Networkal Ce - Networkal	No 2013 2034 100 4 6.92	yr yr S S S S S Unif S S S Yn Index S, IWh S, IWh	α	5 1 8 0 3	4 2 5 5 4	3 2 5 1 7 2 7 3 5 2 2 6 3 4			2 0 7 5 5 1 2 0 3 7		0 3 3 0 0 0 0	0 2 4 0 0	
Escalation Fa Ropulation Growth Factor Load Growth Factor Economic Inflation Factor Energy Price Factor Final Year of Benefits C Enter Benefits Benefit Baducad Ancillary Senic Deferred Distribution Ca Deferred Distribution Ca Reduced Sustained Outs Reduced Sustained Outs Reduced Sustained Outs Reduced Sustained Outs	Description descriptin descriptin descriptin descriptin descriptin	P P P P P P P P P P P P P	02 03 03 02 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04	Input Name Antiliary Servi Capital Canyo Distribution In SAIDI (system Value of Servi Value of Servi Value of Servi Average Hour	Use Custom Cost Schedule Initial Year of Project Spending Final Year of Project Spending Total Capital Cost of Project Interest Rate Yearly Amortized Payment Ces Cost ng Charge of Distribution Upgrade Insettment Tane Defended Ce - Residential Ce - Commercial	No 2013 2034 100 4 6.92 per Customer - Residential	yr yr S S S S Jyr Indev S Akwh S Akwh KWh		5 1 8 0 3 9 1 2	4 2 5 5 4 7 8 8 2	3 2 5 1 7 2 7 3 5 2 2 6			2 0 7 5 5 1 2 0 3 7	1 0 1 2 1 0 1 0	0 3 0 0 0 0	0 2 4 0 0	3jecs4

Figure 39 Data Input Module (DIM) Screen (Replicated Tool Kit)

III.1.3.3 Comparison of CM in SGCT and our program

CM Main page allows you to run the cost-benefit analysis with the inputs entered in the DIM, collectively referred to as the Reference Case, or it allows for an analysis to be run with high and low sensitivity case inputs, collectively referred to as the Sensitivity Case.

New CM with Security Case Texts		-	lang tertering					
			and a second company					
			Name Is any legal	-	Reference Case Wilson garger			
Bod Name :	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	100	Software a	1.000	1817	3063	13014	1015
Notion of Customers Residential Nate (nat-Clear) 1	8	2009	107%	100%			1.1	
writer of Catherine Readering New York-Class 7		230%	1.00%	1000	1.1			
whow of Customers Residential Rate Is d-class it	e	300%	120%	107%			27	1.00
untion of Customers Residential Rate ULE-Class 4		300%	100%	100%			2.4	(m)
uniter of Customers Residential Alter Gul-Class 1	#. S	200%s	120%	100%	1.4	2.4	1 m	
sentiae of Customers All Pasistential Classes		320%	102%	100%				
whiter of Outprints Eintrite tial Rele Eok-Cleb 1		300%	100%	100%		3.4	1.4	
letilise of Outlotten Directorial Rate 2nd-Claim I.	÷ .	320%	102%	100%		19	1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
territer of Custometry Commercial Rate Sub-Class 3	4	300%	100%	1.00%				
while of Customer Commercial Bate Sub-Class 4	£	3304	1.00%	1,00%		1.0	1.0	
while of Queberers Continential Mate Sub-Class 1	8	300%	100%	100%		3.94	1.4	
write of Costonies all Conversal Classes	#	220%	10076	1.00%		1.8		
antie at the to extend to be class to	*	.122%	100%	100%		1.4		
entier of Customen Biduitial Edo-Davi 3		10%	100%	100%	-	1.4	1.4	
unter of Contorrer Industria Eulo-Class I	*	300%	600%	100%		19	100	
writer of Curtomin Itsluintiel Sab-Class 4		300%	100%	100%			1.0	
ambw of Castoriats Industrial Sub-Class I		\$30%	180%	109%		1.4		
lantise of Outomen All Industrial Disses	4	1 122%	100%	LOOK.		10		

Figure 40 CM Main Page (DOE SGCT)

inged fraction	Const.	inford 1	in the second	1000	a line	- Indexed		-		- Second		1.000		100		1 0000	100	1.00	nin and a second s
	Long.																		
Arsillary Services Cost	1					14			20.45	0.47	12.40			0.30	10.57	80			
Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgoads	1			4		1	· · · · ·	2	10.	· · · ·	10	0	0.1	C	1	0.	1		
Det butor investment Time Defend	gr.				1	4		D	0	0	0	0	1	0	-0	0.	1	0	
AD: synami	bides			1.10			136				1.34					1.38			
Value of Service - Recitential	\$304			6.73		171		1,45	1.8	195	12		2.17			2.28			
Value of Sension - Commercial	\$99		238	147			1.71	1.76	tat	145	1.8	196				218		2.29	
Value of Senice - Industrial	\$404		8.		j6	÷.	124		675							4 11.75			
average Hourly Load Not Tenned During Outage pe			1.	6.07			289		2.13		2.76					18			
Average-Hausty Load Acd Janved During Outage pe			† .		4.75	25			12.0				2.52			<u>(2.1e</u>			
tortage Hourly Load Not liened During Outside pr			0.81	1.14		1	79.1			106	2.84	21	Lit			230			
Determination Aerosettion Cast	1			10.1		4										11.44			
fransmuser kestpration Cost	1	-	¥ .		1	9										110			
Distribution Reader Load	\$15/2		1		1	1			1,02				1,08			1.00			
Oldribution Lasies	\$6.00			6.63		6.16	9.39				0.00		15.10			0.32			
Transmission Line Load	No.			输入		10	10.08	12.16	10.94		10.41	12.4	10.5	15.80	10.7	6 (2.5)	19530		
Turnahausion Loose	%-		625	10.00	3	5 :	3	1	2	3	5	1	5.	2	13	15	1	5	Tanka
Avelage Pelie of Witciecale Cherge	\$/8W			¥	J	3á			17.84							12.11			
CO2 Emissions per Gallan of Fuel	tanu)		1.	4	41.	6.6		4.8	4.8	1.4	48	4.8	4.1	4.8	4.8	44	4.6		
Value of 200	1.tur	4	4	4	11	tos7	0.64	自己	9.72	1114	2.3%	6.18	3.6	6.81	0.28	TE-0.	0.88	(Q.M.)	(A)
TLAK RABI	# 10 -	4	Ť-	68	14.1	1.2	0.2	82	12	1.1	1.2	1.1	12	61	112	0.1	0.3.1	11.2	All Control of Control
Austage Milei Tlaielied per Tluck Roll	ridei	P	1.3%	0.00	4.8.1	438	4.88	4.16	4.34	4.16	4.33	438	438	4.14	438	4.18	4.33	4.10	4.98
Average Hum Efficiency for Truck Hull Vehicle	index	5	1	2.5	16	0.5	116	DH.	105	0.5	0.6	0.8	2.6	6.1	10.0	0.1	2.6	0.0	
Sax Energions per dallan at das	tantr	4	1.0	10	12	4	4	4	4.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
TADA Embosions per callen-of lian	tons/	z	1.28	23	1.25	8.29	7.25	2.23	7.25	E.23	125	0.29	2.28	0.25	#28	0.24	0.25	1124	#28
the 2.5 per dallor of day	Torial				1.14			6 <i>5</i> 0	10.97		2.37	0.51	12.97	0.97	10.97	0.57		10.51	
Value of SOX	\$100				1	4	4.11	4.72	477	4.40	4.97	14.00	432	4.93	1.08	1.21	3.54	11.31	237
Value of NC+	1.10		1.75	613	1.23	18	1.54			187	1.71	1178	100	118	1.81				
Value of PM-2.1	\$3.00		1	1I	1	21		52.75		TI H			25			1 27 41		18.0	
Norther of Culturiers Neokerthal New Sub-Date!	6	Ť.	8.01	100	in:	101	3.05	1.04	124	101	5.05	3.08	3.27	107	1.00	5.38	1.00		
		4		4.02			454		4.00		427	408	420		411		412		418
Number of Customers Residential Auto Sub-Cless 1			0		10		4	6	10	0	1	0.1	5	0	1	0	10	0	
Namber of Costomers Recidential Nets Sub-Cletch			0		50		11	D	10	0	10	0	1	e	1	10	1	0	
Number of Contorners Nacionated Name Sub-Class?			0		10	1	a	10	10	10	9	10	10	0	1	10	1	10	
Ventiler of Centeriven All Residential Class			7.01			7.08	1.11	1.08	19.5	TII	7.0	114	7.18	TIT	7.10	172	7.23		
Number of Custometric Committee Ture Sub-Deal?			0.		50	10	10	0.	14	0	5	0	10.74	0	10	0	10	10	
Number of Comments Commercial Rate Sub-Denial			0	-	10	1	-	0	1	0	1	6	1	10	G	0	1	10	
Number of Custometry Conversion And Solo-Jana			0	P	50	0	1	10	6	10	6	10	1	10	6	10	11-	10	Ý.
Number of California's Commission faile Sub-Califo			0		6	6	1	0	1	1	5	0	4	0	6	10	1	10	
Number of Costomers Commission and Solo-Garrie			0		<u>6</u>	10	6	6	10	6	1	10	1	10 .	6	10	1	10	
Number of Castomers All Commercial Class		-	2	2.01		2.02	210	2.00	200	2.04	234	2.04	2.64	2.05	1218	12.06	2.08	12.88	
second in the second process with a local result of the second process in the second process in the second process of the second proces of the second proc			0.		10	B-000	in the	10	1.41	10.00	10.00	10	10.04	10.00	10.00	10		10	
Number of Customers industrial Fate Sub-Casic	-		6		ő.	6	R.	N.	16	N.	10	16	1	6	Ca .	TA .	1	10	
Number of Comment Industrial Falls Sub-Calif.			0		10	10	1	1. C	1 a	0	5	0	1	0	6	0	1	10	
					00	0	-	0	10	0	0	10	1	0	1	0.	1	10	
NUMBER OF COMPANY PROPERTY AND SUB-CAUSE	4	1	w.,	1	141	2	P	0	11	10.1	14	19.	1	10	12	19.	12.	10	/

Figure 41 CM Main Page (Replicated Tool Kit)

For other dialog boxes in SGCT including those of results, please refer to the accompanying manual for 'Smart Grid BCA Toolkit Revised by EML'.

III.2 Calculation of Benefit

Once the asset or technology is selected, then the user selected functions from default candidates will map those over to the benefit through mechanism. In the EPRI Methodological Approach, one of the focus is the concept of benefit. The term "benefit" is defined as an impact (of a Smart Grid project) that has value to a firm, a household, or society in general. To measure the size of benefits, quantification is needed. In addition, the quantified benefits should be expressed in monetary so that it can be compared with others. Basic formulation of the benefit calculation can be presented such as following:

 $Benefit = Cost_{baseline} - Cost_{Project}$

Benefit in the above equation represents the 'avoided cost' or 'reduced cost' due to the introduction of assets or new technology for smart grid. $Cost_{baseline}$ and $Cost_{project}$ represents the cost before the SG and after the SG, respectively.

Followings are the benefit calculation selected:

III.2.1 Optimized Generator Operation

✓ Annual Generation Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Annual Generation Cost (\$))]_{Baseline} – [Annual Generation Cost (\$)]_{Project}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Average Hourly Generation Cost (\$/MWh)
- ✓ Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch (MWh)
- ✓ Annual Energy Storage Efficiency (%)
- ✓ Annual PEV Efficiency (%)

Value (\$) = {[Average Hourly Generation Cost (\$/MWh) * Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch (MWh)]_{Baseline} – Average Hourly Generation Cost (\$/MWh) * Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch (MWh)]_{Project}} * Average Efficiency(%)

Average Efficiency (%) = For projects that yield this benefit as a result of Wide Area Monitoring, Visualization, and Control, the value will be 100%. For projects that just support Stationary Electricity Storage or Plug-in Electric Vehicles this value will be equal to the Annual Efficiency of these technologies. For projects that enable multiple functions which lead to this benefit an average of all efficiencies will be used. *Note: default value of Average Hourly Generation Cost for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.2 Deferred Generation Capacity Investments

- ✓ Total Customer Peak Demand (MW)
- ✓ Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)
- ✓ Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) Impact
- ✓ PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) Impact
- ✓ Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (\$/MW),

Value (\$) = [Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (\$/MW) * Total Customer Peak Demand (MW) – Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)]_{Baseline} - [Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (\$/MW) * Total Customer Peak Demand (MW) – Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)]^{Project}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Capital Carrying Charge of New Generation (\$/yr)
- ✓ Generation Investment Time Deferred (yrs)

Value (\$) = [NPV of Generation Investment Deferral(\$)]_{project}- [NPV of Generation Investment Deferral (\$)]

(\$)]_{baseline}

NPV of Generation Investment Deferral (\$) = Capital Carrying Charge of New Generation (\$) *[1-(1-discount rate (%))^Time Deferred (yrs)]

*Note: default value of Price of Capacity at Annual Peak for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.3 Reduced Ancillary Service Cost

✓ Ancillary Services Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Ancillary Service Cost (\$)]Baseline - [Ancillary Service Cost (\$)]Project

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Average Price of Reserves (\$/MW)
- ✓ Reserve Purchases (MW)
- ✓ Average Price of Frequency Regulation (\$/MW)
- ✓ Frequency Regulation Purchases (MW)

- ✓ Average Price of Voltage Control (\$/MVAR)
- ✓ Voltage Control Purchases (MVAR)

Value (\$) = $[\Sigma$ (Price of Ancillary Service (\$/MW) * Purchases (MW))]_{Baseline} - $[\Sigma$ (Price of Ancillary Service (\$/MW) * Purchases (MW))]_{Project}

*Note: default value of Average Price of Reserves, Average Price of Frequency Regulation, Average Price of Voltage Control for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix

III.2.4 Reduced Congestion Cost

✓ Congestion Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Congestion Cost(\$)]_{Baseline} - [Congestion Cost(\$)]_{Project}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Congestion (MW)
- ✓ Average Price of Congestion (\$/MW)

Value (\$) = [Congestion (MW) * Price of Congestion (\$/MW)]_{Baseline} - [Congestion (MW) * Price of Congestion (\$/MW)]_{Project}

*Note: default value of Average Price of Congestion for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.5 Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments

- ✓ Capital Carrying Charge of Transmission Upgrade (\$)
- ✓ Transmission Investment Time Deferred (yrs)

Value (\$) = [NPV of Transmission Investment Deferral (\$)]_{project}- [NPV of Transmission Investment Deferral (\$)]_{baseline}

III.2.6 Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments

- ✓ Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgrade (\$/yr)
- ✓ Distribution Investment Time Deferred (yrs)

Value (\$) = [NPV of Distribution Investment Deferral (\$)]_{project}- [NPV of Distribution Investment Deferral (\$)]_{baseline}

NPV of Transmission Investment Deferral (\$) = Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgrade (\$) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%))^Time Deferred (yrs))

III.2.7 Reduced Equipment Failures

- ✓ Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$)
- ✓ Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%)
- ✓ Portion Caused by Lack of Condition Diagnosis (%)

Value (\$) = [Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$) * Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%)]_{Baseline} - [Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$) * Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%)]_{Project}

III.2.8 Reduced Transmission & Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost

- ✓ Total Transmission Maintenance Cost (\$)
- ✓ Total Distribution Maintenance Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (\$) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} –[Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (\$) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (\$)]_{Project}

III.2.9 Reduced Transmission& Distribution Operations Cost

- ✓ Transmission Operations Cost (\$)
- ✓ Distribution Operations Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Transmission Operations Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} - [Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Transmission Operations Cost (\$)]_{Project}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Distribution Feeder Switching Operations (\$)
- ✓ Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (\$)
- ✓ Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Distribution Feeder Switching Operations (\$) + Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (\$) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Transmission Operations Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} - [= Distribution Feeder Switching Operations (\$) + Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (\$) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Transmission Operations Cost (\$) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Transmission Operations Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} - [= Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Other Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (\$) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$) + Transmission Operations Cost (\$)]_{Project}

III.2.10 Reduced Meter Reading Cost

✓ Meter Operations Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Meter Operations Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} - [Meter Operations Cost (\$)]_{Project}

III.2.11 Reduced Electricity Theft

- ✓ Number of Meter Tamper Detections –Residential
- ✓ Number of Meter Tamper Detections –Commercial
- ✓ Number of Meter Tamper Detections Industrial
- ✓ Average Annual Customer Electricity Usage –Residential, Commercial, Industrial

Value (\$) = [Σ { Number of Meter Tamper Detections by class (#) * Average Annual Customer Electricity Usage by class (kWh) * Average Percentage of Load not Measured by class (%) * Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (\$/kWh)}]_{Baseline} - [Σ { Number of Meter Tamper Detections by class (#) * Average Annual Customer Electricity Usage by class (kWh) * Average Percentage of Load not Measured by class (%) of year) * Average Retail Electricity Usage Duration of Theft by class (%) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (%) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (%) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (%) * Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (%) * Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (%) * Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (%) * Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate By class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate By class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate By class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate By class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate By class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate By cla

*Note: default value of Average Price of Wholesale Energy, Value of Service - Residential (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - Commercial (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - Industrial (Inflation Factor) for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.12 Reduced Electricity Losses

- ✓ Distribution Feeder Load (MW)
- ✓ Distribution Losses (%)
- ✓ Transmission Line Load (MW)
- ✓ Transmission Losses (%)
- ✓ Average Price of Wholesale Energy (\$/MWh)

Value (\$) = [(Distribution feeder load (MW) * Distribution losses (%) + Transmission line load (MW) * Transmission losses (%)) * 8760 (hr/yr)* Average Price of Wholesale Energy (\$/MWh)]_{Baseline} -[(Distribution feeder load (MW) * Distribution losses (%) + Transmission line load (MW) * Transmission losses (%)) * 8760 (hr/yr)* Average Price of Wholesale Energy (\$/MWh)]_{Project}

III.2.13 Reduced Electricity Cost

- ✓ Total Residential Electricity Cost (\$)
- ✓ Total Commercial Electricity Cost (\$)
- ✓ Total Industrial Electricity Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Total Residential Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Commercial Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Industrial Electricity Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} - [Total Residential Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Commercial Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Industrial Electricity Cost (\$)]_{Project}

*Note: default value of Average Price of Wholesale Energy, Value of Service - Residential (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - Commercial (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - Industrial (Inflation Factor) for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.14 Reduced Sustained Outages

- ✓ SAIDI (System)
- ✓ Value of Service (VOS) (\$/kWh) Residential, Commercial, Industrial
- ✓ Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW)

Value (\$) = Σ { [SAIDI (System) * Total Customers Served within a class (#) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (\$/kWh)]_{Baseline} - [SAIDI (System) * Total Customers Served within a class (#) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (\$/kWh)]_{Project}}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ SAIDI (Impacted Feeders or Lines)
- ✓ Total Customers Served by Impacted Feeders or Lines (#) Residential, Commercial

Value (\$) = Σ { [SAIDI (Impacted Feeders or Lines) * Total Customers Served by Impacted Feeders or Lines (#) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (\$/kWh)]_{Baseline} - [SAIDI (Impacted Feeders or Lines) * Total Customers Served by Impacted Feeders or Lines (#) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (\$/kWh)]_{Project}}

*Note: default value of Average Price of Wholesale Energy, Value of Service - Residential (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - Commercial (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - Industrial (Inflation Factor), Value of Service - PQ (Inflation Factor) for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.15 Reduced Major Outages

- ✓ Outage Time of Major Outage (hr) Residential, Commercial, Industrial
- ✓ Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW)
- ✓ Value of Service (VOS) (\$/kWh) Residential, Commercial, Industrial

Value (\$) = Σ { [Outage Time of Major Outage by class(hr) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (\$/kWh)]_{Baseline} - [Outage Time of Major Outage by class(hr) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (\$/kWh)]_{Project}}

III.2.16 Reduced Restoration Cost

- ✓ Distribution Restoration Cost (\$)
- ✓ Transmission Restoration Cost (\$)

Value (\$) = [Distribution Restoration Cost (\$) + Transmission Restoration Cost (\$)]_{Baseline} - [Distribution Restoration Cost (\$)]_{Project}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Number of Outage Events (#)
- ✓ Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)

Value (\$) = [Number of Outage Events (# of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)]_{Baseline} - [Number of Outage Events (# of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)]_{Project}

III.2.17 Reduced Momentary Outages

- ✓ MAIFI (System)
- ✓ Value of Service (VOS) Power Quality (\$/interruption)

Value (\$) = [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS – Power Quality (\$ per interruption)]_{Baseline} - [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS (\$ per interruption)]_{Project}

Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) = MAIFI (Index) * Σ{Total Customers Served by class (#)}

Optional Inputs

- ✓ MAIFI (Impacted Feeders)
- ✓ Total Customers Served on Impacted Feeders (momentary) (#) Residential, Commercial, Industrial

Value (\$) = [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS – Power Quality (\$ per interruption)]_{Baseline} - [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS (\$ per interruption)]_{Project} Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) = MAIFI of Impacted Feeders (Index) * Σ {Total Customers Served by class on the Impacted Feeders (#)}

*Note: default value of Value of Service - PQ (Inflation Factor) for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.18 Reduced Sags and Swells

- ✓ Number of High Impedance Faults Cleared (# of events)
- ✓ Value of Service (VOS) Sags and Swells (\$/event)

Value (\$) = [Number of High Impedance Faults Cleared (# of events) * VOS – Sags and Swells (\$/event)]_{Baseline} - [Number of High Impedance Faults Cleared (# of events) * VOS – Sags and Swells (\$/event)]_{Project}

III.2.19 Reduced CO2 Emissions

For Automated Feeder and Line Switching; Real Time Measurement and Management; Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition

- ✓ Truck Rolls (# of events)
- ✓ Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event)
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile)
- ✓ CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel(tons/gallon)

Value (\$) = Σ {Net CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons)}* Value of CO2 (\$/ton)

Net CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons) = $[CO_2 \text{ Emissions (tons)}]_{Baseline}$ - $[CO_2 \text{ Emissions (tons)}]_{Project}$ Net CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons) = $[CO2 \text{ Emissions Avoided(tons)}]_{Project}$ - $[CO2 \text{ Emissions Avoided (tons)}]_{Baseline}$

*Note: default value of Average Fuel Efficiency for Feeder Service Vehicle, Average Fuel Efficiency for Diagnosis/Notification Service Vehicle, Average Fuel Efficiency for Real Time Load Measurement/Management Service Vehicle for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Number of Operations Completed (# of events) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- ✓ For PEV with Reduced Gasoline Consumption Mechanism
- ✓ kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh)
- ✓ Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh)

For all other Functions (Including PEV with Offset Central Generation Mechanism)

- ✓ CO2 Emissions (tons)
- ✓ Value of CO2 (\$/ton)

*Note: default value of Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor, CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel, Value of CO2 for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.20 Reduced SOX, NOX, and PM-2.5 Emissions

For Automated Feeder and Line Switching; Real Time Measurement and Management; Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition

- ✓ Truck Rolls (# of events)
- ✓ Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event)
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile)
- ✓ Emissions per Gallon of Fuel(tons/gallon) SOx, NOx

Value (\$) = Σ {Net Emissions Avoided (tons)* Value of Emissions (\$/ton)}

Net Emissions Avoided (tons) = [Emissions (tons)]_{Baseline} - [Emissions (tons)]_{Project}

Net Emissions Avoided (tons) = [Emissions Avoided(tons)]_{Project} - [Emissions Avoided (tons)]
Baseline

Optional Inputs

- Number of Operations Completed (# of events) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading

For PEV with Reduced Gasoline Consumption Mechanism

- ✓ kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh)
- ✓ Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh)
- ✓ For all other Functions (Including PEV with Offset Central Generation Mechanism)
- ✓ SOx Emissions (tons)
- ✓ NOx Emissions (tons)
- ✓ PM-2.5 Emissions (tons)
- ✓ Value of Emissions (\$/ton) SOx, NOx, PM-2.5

*Note: default value of SOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas, NOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas, PM-2.5 per Gallon of Gas for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.21 Reduced Oil Usage

For PEVs (with reduced gasoline consumption mechanism):

- ✓ kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh)
- ✓ Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor(gallons/kWh)

Value (gallons of oil) = Net Avoided Fuel Use (gallons)* Fuel to Oil Conversion Factor (gallons oil/gallon fuel)

Net Avoided Fuel Use (gallons) = [Fuel Use (gallons)]_{Baseline} - [Fuel Use (gallons)]_{Project} **Net Avoided Fuel Use (gallons)** = [Avoided Fuel Use (gallons)]_{Project} - [Avoided Fuel Use (gallons)]_{Baseline}

For all other Functions

✓ Truck Rolls (# of events)

- ✓ Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event)
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile)

Optional Inputs

- ✓ Number of Operations Completed (# of events) –Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading

*Note: default value of Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle for all NERC regions are provided in the Appendix.

III.2.22 Reduced Wide-scale Blackouts

- ✓ Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events)
- ✓ Estimated Cost of each Wide-scale Blackout (\$/event)

Value (\$) = [Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events) * Estimated Cost of each Wide-scale Blackout (\$/event)]_{Baseline} - [Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events) * Estimated Cost each Wide-scale Blackout (\$/event)]_{Project}

III.2.23 Potential Barriers in Benefit Calculation and in Expansion of SGCT for ISGAN Member Countries

In the Appendix, all the default values for the followings are summarized:

- ✓ Average Hourly Generation Cost
- ✓ Price of Capacity at Annual Peak
- ✓ Average Price of Reserves
- ✓ Average Price of Frequency Regulation
- ✓ Average Price of Voltage Control
- ✓ Average Price of Congestion
- ✓ Average Price of Wholesale Energy
- ✓ Value of Service Residential (Inflation Factor)
- ✓ Value of Service Commercial (Inflation Factor)
- ✓ Value of Service Industrial (Inflation Factor)
- ✓ Restoration Cost per Event
- ✓ Value of Service PQ (Inflation Factor)
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle
- ✓ CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel

- ✓ Value of CO2
- ✓ SOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas
- ✓ NOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas
- ✓ PM-2.5 per Gallon of Gas
- ✓ Value of SOx
- ✓ Value of NOx
- ✓ Value of PM-2.5
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Feeder Service Vehicle
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Diagnosis/Notification Service Vehicle
- ✓ Average Fuel Efficiency for Real Time Load Measurement/Management Service Vehicle
- ✓ Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor

It is noted, however, there are a couple of things to be discussed.

First, there are many parameters in the benefit calculation which is not given for NERC regions. Examples for such are provided in the following:

III.2.2 Deferred Generation Capacity Investments

 Total Customer Peak Demand (MW)
 Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)
 Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – Impact
 PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – Impact
 Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (\$/MW),

- III.2.5 Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments
 Capital Carrying Charge of Transmission Upgrade (\$)
 Transmission Investment Time Deferred (yrs)
- III.2.6 Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgrade (\$/yr) Distribution Investment Time Deferred (yrs)
- III.2.7 Reduced Equipment Failures
 Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$)
 Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%)
 Portion Caused by Lack of Condition Diagnosis (%)
- III.2.8 Reduced Transmission & Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost Total Transmission Maintenance Cost (\$) Total Distribution Maintenance Cost (\$)

III.2.9 Reduced Transmission& Distribution Operations Cost Transmission Operations Cost (\$) Distribution Operations Cost (\$)

> Distribution Feeder Switching Operations (\$) Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (\$) Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$)

- III.2.11 Reduced Electricity Theft Number of Meter Tamper Detections –Residential Number of Meter Tamper Detections –Commercial Number of Meter Tamper Detections – Industrial
- III.2.15 Reduced Major Outages

Outage Time of Major Outage (hr) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) Value of Service (VOS) (\$/kWh) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial

III.2.16 Reduced Restoration Cost Distribution Restoration Cost (\$) Transmission Restoration Cost (\$)

> Number of Outage Events (#) Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)

Second, even if some of default values are given for NERC regions, it would not be easy for users not in USA to find such values out of scratch. Examples for such include:

- III.2.3 Reduced Ancillary Service Cost

 Average Price of Reserves (\$/MW)
 Reserve Purchases (MW)
 Average Price of Frequency Regulation (\$/MW)
 Frequency Regulation Purchases (MW)
 Average Price of Voltage Control (\$/MVAR)
 Voltage Control Purchases (MVAR)
- III.2.17 Reduced Momentary Outages MAIFI (System) Value of Service (VOS) – Power Quality (\$/interruption)

Total Customers Served on Impacted Feeders (momentary) (#) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial III.2.19 Reduced CO2 Emissions For Automated Feeder and Line Switching; Real Time Measurement and Management; **Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition** Truck Rolls (# of events) Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile) CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel(tons/gallon) Number of Operations Completed (# of events) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) - Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading For PEV with Reduced Gasoline Consumption Mechanism kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) III.2.20 Reduced SOX, NOX, and PM-2.5 Emissions For Automated Feeder and Line Switching; Real Time Measurement and Management; **Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition** Truck Rolls (# of events) Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile) Emissions per Gallon of Fuel(tons/gallon) – SOx, NOx **Optional Inputs** Number of Operations Completed (# of events) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) - Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading For PEV with Reduced Gasoline Consumption Mechanism kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) For all other Functions (Including PEV with Offset Central Generation Mechanism) SOx Emissions (tons) NOx Emissions (tons) PM-2.5 Emissions (tons) Value of Emissions (\$/ton) – SOx, NOx, PM-2.5 III.2.21 Reduced Oil Usage For PEVs (with reduced gasoline consumption mechanism):

kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh)

Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor(gallons/kWh)
For all other Functions
Truck Rolls (# of events)
Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event)
Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile)
Optional Inputs
Number of Operations Completed (# of events) –Feeder Switching and Maintenance,
Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) – Feeder Switching and
Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and
Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading
III.2.22 Reduced Wide-scale Blackouts

Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events) Estimated Cost of each Wide-scale Blackout (\$/event)

To estimate the benefit according to EPRI guideline as is the case of DOE SGCT, as well as the cost, there are three dimensional frameworks that must be analyzed upon, as shown in figure below.



Figure 42 The Three Dimensions of Benefit and Cost of Smart Grid

Source: EPRI, 2010

The first dimension is the four fundamental categories (types) of benefits, that is economic, environmental, reliability, and safety and security. The second is the different perspectives of the benefits themselves, as seen by three beneficiaries: utilities, customers, and society as a whole. The third dimension, though, is proven to be the most difficult one to tackle: the levels of precision. The only reasonable way of characterizing the general level of precision is to use broad categories such as (EPRI, 2010):

- ✓ Modest level of uncertainty in quantitative estimates and/or in monetization
- \checkmark Significant uncertainty in quantitative estimates and/or in how to monetize
- ✓ Highly uncertain
- ✓ Cannot be quantified

In the following, it is discussed that DOE has some carefully designed projects to overcome such difficulties as the precision of the required answers increases.

III.2.24 Ways to Overcome the Barriers

According to NRCEA and CRN (2013), the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (NRECA) has organized the NRECA-U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Smart Grid Demonstration Project to install and study a broad range of advanced Smart Grid technologies in a demonstration that involves 23 electric cooperatives in 11 states. For purposes of evaluation, the technologies deployed have been classified into three major sub-classes, each consisting of four technology types. Following is the list of demonstration projects:

Table 5 Demonstration projects

	Advanced Metering Infrastructure											
Enabling	Meter Data Management Systems											
Technologies	Telecommunications											
	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition											
	In-Home Displays & Web portals											
Demand	Demand Response Over AMI											
Response	Prepaid Metering											
	Interactive Thermal Storage											
	Renewables Integration											
Distribution	Smart Feeder Switching											
Automation	Advanced Volt/VAR Control											
	Conservation Voltage Reduction											

Note: Bold types are applied for the cases with information available.

Not all of the Demonstration projects has reported information available. However there are 6 projects which have reports on the progress of the related projects:

- ✓ Advanced Metering Infrastructure
- ✓ Meter Data Management Systems
- ✓ Telecommunications
- ✓ Prepaid Metering

- ✓ Smart Feeder Switching
- ✓ Conservation Voltage Reduction

In the following, a brief summary of those projects are provided and the information gathered from those demonstration projects will further provide more accurate parameters for SGCT in the future.



Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)

Source: Cody (2014a)

"Average net load and generation profiles of selected net metering consumers on the KIUC system from March 2013 are shown above. The data represent net delivered and net received energy, rather than the full load requirements and total generation of the net metering customers." (Cody, 2014a)

Meter Data Management Systems

MDMS systems have four potential values which are Real-Time Information Sharing, Bidding Demand Response and Other Storage Resources into MISO, Monitoring Line Losses and Power Theft, and Load Forecasting. The below is an example of one of the types of aggregation the MT-MDMS provides.



Figure 44 Aggregation MT-MDMS provides

Soruce: Walker (2014)

If sum(meters(1+2+3+6+7+8)) + Meter C = 0 then Virtual Meter D = 0 Virtual Meter E = 0 Else If sum(meters(1+2+3+6+7+8)) = 0 AND Meter C > 0 then Virtual Meter D = Meter C * .5 Virtual Meter E = Meter C * .5

Else

Virtual Meter D = Meter C / sum(meters (1+2+3+6+7+8)) * sum(meters (1+2+3)) Virtual Meter E = Meter C / sum(meters (1+2+3+6+7+8)0 * sum(meters (6+7+8))

Figure 45 If-Then Aggregation Logic

Source: Walker (2014)

Telecommunications

In the Smart Grid, communication has a distinct role which enables other technologies to be valid.

Communication thus plays a unique role in the Smart Grid—it is the enabling technology for other enabling technologies. In other words, benefits from communication are difficult to measure. It surely does not direct impact on others such as utility, the end user, or society in general. And it is related with multiple functions.

This ambiguous value has challenges to measure. Cody (2014f) listed four types of challenges: The first thing comes up toward someone interested in estimating the value of a potential communication upgrade. The second thing comes up because just one communication system can enable multiple smart grid functions. Cody (2014f) gave us an example that a single radio network may support both prepaid metering and demand response. Calculating the return on investment (ROI) of a communication up grade requires knowing the value of each supported Smart Grid function, any of which may be uncertain. In some cases, the communication upgrade may end up supporting functions that are implemented only later. Perhaps these functions would not even be considered until after the new communications are in place—the available bandwidth inspires system planners to consider functions that previously were unfeasible. For example, a utility that installs fiber to support smart feeder switching may find itself with excess bandwidth and later elect to use that bandwidth to support volt/VAR control. A utility with excess bandwidth is likely to look for ways to derive value from it. The third thing arises because it is moving target. As time goes by, the communication upgrade will be need periodically. So we might decide whether installing a new one or upgrading the old one continuously. In this context, a fourth thing is that the Smart Grid functions supported by communications are also moving targets. Those functions need to have bandwidth.

Prepaid Metering

Sioboda (2014) review three prepayment program under development at three distribution cooperatives as a part of the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association-U.S. Department of Energy (NRECA-DOE) Smart Grid Demonstration Project (SGDP)⁸. The report provides an overall status for each program design. But this report present the statistics gathered on the Energy Advantage Program Member Survey from EnergyUnited because the programs at DMEA and KEA are not yet in operation.

The level of participation for of EnergyUnited prepayment program is roughly about 1% of meter-based members. And the systems involved in offering prepayment to EU members are the Customer Information System (CIS) from Cayenta, and the advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) solution from Cooper Power Systems. The figure below shows the how the program designed and what EnergyUnited asked for their customer to assess the Energy Advantage Program Member Survey.



Figure 46 Cayenta/EU CIS High-Level Architecture and EA Program Member Survey Source: Sioboda (2014)

The results are based on the 2,554 prepayment contracts which include purchase frequency, consumption pattern before and after the program participation. The result shows that some customers perceive that they conserve energy and also save money. But the statistical validity of data, effectiveness energy efficiency and conservation, and the other problems has to be solved.

⁸ The three cooperatives are EnergyUnited (EU), Delta-Montrose Electric Association (DMEA), and Kotzebue Electric Association (KEA).

Smart Feeder Switching

Pinney (2014) discusses the deployment experience of Smart Feeder Switching (SFS) applications at nine rural which experienced natural disasters and damaged the electric distribution system. They investigated models to represent and predict the benefits of these technologies, with extensions to automating screening and engineering analysis for future deployments. This study defines an analytical methodology for quantifying the value of two SFS operational benefits: (1) more rapid restoration following a fault and (2) reduced losses through feeder load balancing.

The benefits of SFS can be disaggregated into 4 parts which including Operational Benefits, Utility Benefits, Customer Benefits, and Society Benefits. The figure below shows the benefits realized.



Figure 47 Smart Feeder Switching Benefits

Note: Benefits were categorized as having either first or second order impacts. First order impacts are considered to be the main drivers of SFS systems.

Source: Pinney (2014)
1. Gaining experience with increasingly prevalent distribution automation technology was an important driver behind cooperative participation in these demonstrations.

2. Non-labor costs were consistent per automated switch, but costs per customer average interruption duration index (CAIDI) minute of improvement, when calculable, were variable due to the diverse system types under study.

3. Multiple cooperatives were able to bring large percentages (30%–50%) of their feeders into configurations that enabled self-healing through back-feeds and automatic source transfers.

Conservation Voltage Reduction

Lowering system voltage save energy at low cost without risking on end-users' appliances. Pinney(2014 a) investigated the conservation voltage reduction (CVR) technology in 4 rural area. In this report, the benefits of conservation voltage reduction has examined primarily for the utility and customers. The CVR benefits are peak demand reduction, loss reduction. And the principal cost is hardware implemented for the project. Also the cost includes energy sales loss of utility. The table below shows the cost and benefit on the monthly basis.

		Historica	l Loads (kW)	Peak	Red.	Energy	Red.	Loss	Red.	Net
Month	Season	Avg	Peak	kW	\$	kWh	\$	kW	\$	\$
January	Winter	2740	4236	0	0	19.45	-778	0.06	4	-774
February	Winter	2483	3312	0	0	10.20	-408	0.03	2	-406
March	Spring	2031	2964	0	0	22.59	-904	0.17	10	-893
April	Spring	2107	3025	0	0	26.58	-1063	0.20	12	-1051
May	Spring	2344	4076	0	0	5.19	-208	0.02	1	-206
June	Summer	2769	5811	0	0	20.50	-820	0.07	4	-816
July	Summer	3967	6746	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0
August	Summer	3274	5204	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0
September	Fall	2130	4904	0	0	27.78	-1111	0.21	13	-1099
October	Fall	1752	2337	4.94	29613	7.97	-319	0.06	4	29297
November	Fall	2208	3545	0	0	0.29	-12	0.00	0	-11
December	Winter	2482	3365	0	0	10.16	-406	0.03	2	-404

Table 6 Costs and Benefits for Re-Regulation of Test Feeder

Source: Pinney (2014 a)

III.2.25 Summary of the Benefit, Functions, Input Parameters and Monetization of Benefit

Benefit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Optimized Generator Operation	 Wide Area Monitoring, Visualization, & Control Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles 	 Annual Generation Cost (\$) Optional Inputs Average Hourly Generation Cost (\$/MWh) Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch (MWh) Annual Energy Storage Efficiency (%) Annual PEV Efficiency (%) 	Standard Calculation: Value (5) = [Annual Generation Cost (5)] _{Baseline} * [Annual Generation Cost (5)] _{Paget} Optional Calculation: Value (5) = [Average Hourly Generation Cost (5/MWh) * Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch (MWh) * Average Efficiency (%)] _{Paget} = [Average Hourly Generation Cost (5/MWh) * Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch (MWh) * Average Efficiency (%)] _{Baseline} Average Efficiency (%)] _{Baseline} Average Efficiency (%)] _{Baseline} Average Efficiency (%)] = For projects that yield this benefit as a result of Wide Area Monitoring. Visualization, and Control, the value will be 100%. For projects that just support Stationary Electricity Storage or Plug-in Electric Vehicles this value will be equal to the Annual Efficiency of these technologies. For projects that enable multiple functions that lead to this benefit an average of all efficiencies will be used.
Deferred Generation Capacity Investments	 Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles 	 Total Customer Peak Demand (MW) Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – Impact PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) – Impact Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (S/MW), Optional Inputs Capital Carrying Charge of New Generation (S/yr) Generation Investment Time Deferred (yrs) 	Standard Calculation: Value (\$) = [Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (\$/MW) * [Total Customer Peak Demand (MW) - Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) - Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) - PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)]]suster - [Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (\$/MW) * [Total Customer Peak Demand (MW) - Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) - Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) - PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)] Storage Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) - Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time (MW) - PEV Use at Annual Peak Time (MW)]] Optional Calculation: Value (\$)= [Capital Carrying Charge of New Generation (\$) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%)))*Time Deferred (yrs))] [Capital Carrying Charge of New Generation (\$) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%))*Time Deferred (yrs))]
Reduced Ancillary Service Cost	Wide Area Monitoring Visualization and Control Automated Voltage and VAR Control Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles Customer Electricity Use Optimization	Ancillary Services Cost (5) Optional Inputs Average Price of Reserves (S/MW) Reserve Purchases (MW) Average Price of Frequency Regulation (S/MW) Frequency Regulation Purchases (MIV) Average Price of Voltage Control (S/MVAR) Voltage Control Purchases (MVAR)	Standard Calculation: Value (S) = [Ancillary Services Cost (5)] _{humble} - [Ancillary Services Cost (5)] _{Point} Optional Calculation: Value (S) = [Σ (Price of Ancillary Service (5/MW) * Purchases (MW))] _{fluentin} - [Σ (Price of Ancillary Service (5/MW) * Purchases (MW))] _{fluentin} Purchases (MW))] _{fruentin}
Reduced Congestion Cost	Wide Area Monitoring, Visualization, & Control Dynamic Capability Rating Power Flow Control Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles Customer Electricity Use Optimization	Congestion Cost (S) Optional Inputs Congestion (MW) Average Price of Congestion (S/MW)	Standard Calculation: Value (\$) = [Congestion Cost(\$)] _{Bundar} - [Congestion Cost(\$)] _{Proper} . Optional Calculation: Value (\$) = [Congestion (MW) * Average Price of Congestion (\$/MW)] _{Bundar} - [Congestion (MW) * Average Price of Congestion (\$/MW)] _{Proper} .

Figure 48 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (1)

Benefit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Deferred Transmission Capacity Investments	Fault Current Limiting Wide Area Monitoring, Visualization, & Control Dynamic Capability Rating Power Flow Control Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles	Capital Carrying Charge of Transmission Upgrade (5) Transmission Investment Time Deferred (yrs)	Value (\$)= [Capital Carrying Charge of Transmission Upgrade (\$) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%))*Time Deferred (yrs))] _{Preset} [Capital Carrying Charge of Transmission Upgrade (\$) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%))*Time Deferred (yrs))] _{Basise} Note: this should only be calculated once since all years of deferral are included
Deferred Distribution Capacity Investments	Dynamic Capability Rating Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Real-Time Load Transfer Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles	 Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgrade (\$/yr) Distribution Investment Time Deferred (yrs) 	Value (5)- [Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgrade (5) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%))*Time Deferred (yrs))] _{Power} - [Capital Carrying Charge of Distribution Upgrade (S) *(1-(1-Discount rate (%))*Time Deferred (yrs))] _{Readew} Note: this should only be calculated once since all years of deterral are included
Reduced Equipment Failures	 Fault Current Limiting Dynamic Capability Rating Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Enhanced Fault Protection 	 Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (5) Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%) Portion Caused by Lack of Condition Diagnosis (%) 	For Fault Current Limiting. Dynamic Capability Rating. & Enhanced Fault Protection; Value (\$) = [Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$) * Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%) _{function} - [Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$) * Portion Caused by Fault Current or Overloaded Equipment (%) _{function} For Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition; Value (\$) = [Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$) * Portion Caused by Lack of Condition Diagnosis (%) _{function} - [Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment (\$) * Portion Caused by Lack of Condition Diagnosis
Reduced Transmission & Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost	Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition	Total Transmission Maintenance Cost (S) Total Distribution Maintenance Cost (S)	$ \begin{aligned} & \textbf{Value (5)} = [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [\text{Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost (5)]_{\text{Number}} & - [Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost (5) + Total Transmissio$
Reduced Transmission& Distribution Operations Cost	 Automated Feeder and Line Switching Automated Voltage and VAR Control 	Transmission Operations Cost (\$) Distribution Operations Cost (\$) <u>Optional Inputs</u> Distribution Feeder Switching Operations (\$) Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (\$) Other Distribution Operations Cost (\$)	Standard Calculation: Value (5) = [Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations Cost (5)] _{houther} - [Distribution Operations Cost (5)] _{Proper} Optional Calculation: Value (5) = [Distribution Feeder Switching Operations (5) + Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Other Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations Cost (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations (5) + Other Distribution Operations Cost (5) + Transmission Operations Cost (5) + Trans
Reduced Meter Reading Cost	Real-Time Load Measurement & Management	Meter Operations Cost (\$)	Value (5) - [Meter Operations Cost (5)] _{Paulin} - [Meter Operations Cost (5)] _{Paulin}

Figure 49 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (2)

Benefit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Reduced Electricity Theft	Real-Time Load Measurement & Management	 Number of Meter Tamper Detections – Residential Number of Meter Tamper Detections – Commercial Number of Meter Tamper Detections – Industrial Average Annual Customer Electricity Usage – Residential, Commercial, Industrial 	Value (5) = [Σ] Number of Meter Tamper Detections by class (‡) * Average Annual Customer Electricity Usage by class (kWh) * Average Percentage of Load not Measured by class (%) * Average Duration of Theft by class (%) of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (\$kWh)]_Reacher - [Σ] Number of Meter Tamper Detections by class (\$) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (\$kWh)]_Reacher - [Σ] Number of Meter Tamper Detections by class (\$) * Average Annual Customer Electricity Usage by class (\$kWh)] * Average Percentage of Load not Measured by class (\$) * Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (\$) * Average Percentage of Load not Measured by class (%) = This is a DOE assumption that varies by class Average Duration of Theft by class (% of year) * This is a DOE assumption that varies by class Average Retail Electricity Rate by class (\$)
Reduced Electricity Losses	Power Flow Control Automated Voltage and VAR Control Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Real-Time Load Transfer Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage	 Distribution Feeder Load (MW) Distribution Losses (%) Transmission Line Load (MW) Transmission Losses (%) Average Price of Wholesale Energy (\$/MWh) 	Value (\$) = {{Distribution feeder load (MW) * Distribution losses (%) + Transmission line load (MW) * Transmission losses (%) * 8760 (hr/yr)* Average Price of Wholesale Energy (\$/MWh)] _{Itentin} - {(Distribution feeder load (MW) * Distribution losses (%) + Transmission line load (MW) * Transmission losses (%)) * 8760 (hr/yr)* Average Price of Wholesale Energy (\$/MWh)] _{Crosol}
Reduced Electricity Cost	Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles	 Total Residential Electricity Cost (\$) Total Commercial Electricity Cost (\$) Total Industrial Electricity Cost (\$) 	Value (\$) = [Total Residential Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Commercial Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Industrial Electricity Cost (\$)] _{Baseline} - [Total Residential Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Commercial Electricity Cost (\$) + Total Industrial Electricity Cost (\$)] _{Baseline}
Reduced Sustained Outages	Adaptive Protection Automated Feeder and Line Switching Automated Islanding and Reconnection Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Enhanced Fault Protection Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles	 SAIDI (System) Value of Service (VOS) (\$/kWh) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) Optional Inputs SAIDI (Impacted Feeders or Lines) Total Customers Served by Impacted Feeders or Lines (#) – Residential, Commercial 	$\label{eq:Standard Calculation:} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Reduced Major Outages	Wide area Monitoring, Visualization & Control Automated Islanding and Reconnection Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Real-Time Load Transfer	 Outage Time of Major Outage (hr) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial Avurage Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) Value of Service (VOS) (\$/kWh) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial 	$ \begin{aligned} & \textbf{Value (5)} = \Sigma \{ [Outage Time of Major Outage by class(hr) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (S/kWh)]_{Rawline - } [Outage Time of Major Outage by class(hr) * Average Hourly Load Not Served During Outage per Customer by class (kW) * VOS by class (S/kWh)]_{Power} \\ \end{aligned} $

Figure 50 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (3)

Benefit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Reduced Restoration Cost	 Adaptive Protection Automated Feeder and Line Switching Automated Islanding and Reconnection Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Enhanced Fault Protection Real-Time Load Measurement & Management 	 Distribution Restoration Cost (\$) Transmission Restoration Cost (\$) Optional Inputs Number of Outage Events (‡) Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event) 	Standard Calculation: Value (\$) = [Distribution Restoration Cost (\$) + Transmission Restoration Cost (\$)] _{Instine} - [Distribution Restoration Cost (\$) + Transmission Restoration Cost (\$)] _{Project} Optional Calculation: Value (\$) = [Number of Outage Events (# of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (# of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (# of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$ of events) * Restoration Cost per Event (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of Outage Events (\$/event)] _{Instine} - [Number of
Reduced Momentary Outages	 Enhanced Fault Protection Stationary Electricity Storage 	 MAIFI (System) Value of Service (VOS) – Power Quality (\$/interruption) Optional Inputs MAIFI (Impacted Feeders) Total Customers Served on Impacted Feeders (momentary) (\$) – Residential, Commercial, Industrial 	Standard Calculation: Value (\$) = [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS – Power Quality (\$ per interruption)] _{Baseline} – [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS (\$ per interruption)] _{Date Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) = MAIFI (Index) * £[Total Customers Served by class (#)] Optional Calculation: Value (\$) = [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS – Power Quality (\$ per interruption)]_{Baseline} – [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS – Power Quality (\$ per interruption)]_{Baseline} – [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS – Power Quality (\$ per interruption)]_{Baseline} – [Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS (\$ per interruption)]_{Date Momentary Interruptions (# of interruptions) * VOS (\$ per interruption)]_{Date Served by class on the Impacted Feeders (#)]}}}
Reduced Sags and Swells	Enhanced Fault ProtectionStationary Electricity Storage	 Number of High Impedance Faults Cleared (# of events) Value of Service (VOS) – Sags and Swells (\$/event) 	Value (5) = [Number of High Impedance Faults Cleared (# of events) * VOS – Sags and Swells (5/event)] _{Project} - [Number of High Impedance Faults Cleared (# of events) * VOS – Sags and Swells (5/event)] _{Project} -

Figure 51 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (4)

Benetit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Reduced CO: Emissions	 Power Flow Control Automated Feeder and Line Switching Automated Voltage and VAR Control Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Real-time Load Transfer Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electric Volticles Plug-in Electric Vehicles 	 For Automated Feeder and Line Switching: Real Time Measurement and Management: Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Truck Rolls (7 of events) Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile) CO₂ Emissions per Gallon of Fuel(tons/gallon) Optional Inputs Number of Operations Completed (7 of events) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Kuth of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) For all other Functions (Including PEV with Offset Central Generation Mechanism) CO₂ Emissions (tons) Value of CO₂ (Srion) 	 Value (5) = E[Net CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons)]* Value of CO2 (\$(ton) Net CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons) = [CO2 Emissions (tons)]_{Invest} - [CO2 Emissions (tons)]_{Invest} Net CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons) = [CO2 Emissions Avoided(tons)]_{Invest} - [CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons)]_{Invest} For Automated Feeder and Line Switching: Real Time Measurement and Management: Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition: CO2 Emissions (tons) = Truck Rolls (2 of events) * Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) = Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (miles/gallon) * CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) Optional Calculation: CO2 Emissions (tons) = 2(Number of Operations Completed(2 of events) * Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) + Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon)] * CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) For PEV with Reduced Gasoline Consumption Mechanism: CO2 Emissions Avoided (tons) = Wh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) * Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) * CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) For all other Functions (Including PEV with offset central generation); CO2 Emissions (tons) = Calculated and reported by the project directly.

Figure 52 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (5)

Benefit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Reduced SO ₃ , NO ₃ and PM- 2.5 Emissions	 Power Flow Control Automated Feeder and Line Switching Automated Voltage and VAR Control Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Real-time Load Transfer Customer Electricity Use Optimization Distributed Generation Stationary Electricity Storage Plug-in Electric Vehicles 	For Automated Feeder and Line Switching: Real Time Measurement and Management: Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition • Truck Rolls (‡ of events) • Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) • Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll (miles/event) • Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile) • Emissions per Gallon of Fuel(tons/gallon) – SO, NO. Optional Inputs • Number of Operations Completed (‡ of events) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading • Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading • Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading • Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading • Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading • Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading • Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis (Desciption) • Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenarce, Diagnosis (Desciption) • KWh of Electricity Consumed by	Value (S) = Σ[Net Emissions Avoided (tons)* Value of Emissions (tons)] Net Emissions Avoided (tons) = [Emissions (tons)] _{trante} For Automated Feeder and Line Switching: Real Time Measurement and Management; Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition: Emissions (tons) = Truck Rolls (# of events) * Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) * Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (miles/gallon) * Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) Optional Calculation: Emissions (tons) = Σ[Number of Operations Completed(# of events) * Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) * Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon)) * Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) Optional Calculation: Emissions (tons) = Δ[Number of Operations Completed(# of events) * Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) * Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon)] * Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) For PEV with Reduced Gasoline Consumption Mechanism: Emissions Avoided (tons) = kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) * Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) * Emissions per Gallon of Fuel (tons/gallon) For all other Functions (Including PEV with offset central generation); Emissions (tons) = Calculated and reported by the project directly.

Figure 53 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (6)

Benefit	Functions & Enabled Energy Resources	Input Parameters	Monetization Calculation
Reduced Oil Usage	 Automated Feeder and Line Switching Diagnosis & Notification of Equipment Condition Real-Time Load Measurement & Management Plug-in Electric Vehicles 	 For PEVs (with reduced gasoline consumption mechanism): kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) For all other Functions Truck Rolls (# of events) Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle (gallons/mile) Optional Inputs Number of Operations Completed (# of events) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon) – Feeder Switching and Maintenance, Diagnosis and Notification, Meter Reading 	Value (gallons of oil) = Net Avoided Fuel Use (gallons)* Fuel to Oil Conversion Factor (gallons oil/gallon fuel) Net Avoided Fuel Use (gallons) = [Fuel Use (gallons)] _{fraget} Net Avoided Fuel Use (gallons) = [Avoided Fuel Use (gallons)] _{fraget} For PEVs (with reduced gasoline consumption mechanism): Avoided Fuel Use (gallons) = kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs (kWh) * Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor (gallons/kWh) For all other Functions: Fuel Use (gallons) = Truck Rolls (# of events) * Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) + Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Rolls (# of events) * Average Miles Travelled per Truck Roll (miles/event) + Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Rolls (# of Operations Completed(# of events) * Average Miles Traveled per Operation (miles/event) + Average Fuel Efficiency for Service Vehicle (miles/gallon)]
Reduced Wide- scale Blackouts	Wide Area Monitoring & Visualization Dynamic Capability Rating	Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events) Estimated Cost of each Wide-scale Blackout (\$/event)	Value (5) - [Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events) * Estimated Cost of each Wide-scale Blackout (S/event)] _{Baseba} - [Number of Wide-scale Blackouts (# of events) * Estimated Cost each Wide-scale Blackout (S/event)] _{Primes}

Figure 54 Summary of Benefit Input Parameters and Calculations (7)

III.3 Calculation of Cost

III.3.1 Present Valuation of Cost in SGCT

Current SGCT calculates the cost in following 3 steps:

- 1. Determine a nominal cost schedule this is accomplished in two ways:
 - A. the user can directly enter a nominal cost schedule
 - B. SGCT can calculate a cost schedule based on user inputs.
- 2. Determine a present value cost schedule
- 3. Determine the NPV of the project

According to DOE (2011), the cost entered into the SGCT should represent the total installed cost of the project and should include all capital costs and direct labor costs, i.e. construction, installation, integration, testing, and commissioning. Cost input made by the user of SGCT even allows two year prior from the project start until 2040. Followings are the cost calculation related inputs:

Input	Description		
Initial Year of Project Spending	The first year in which payments for project capital costs are made.		
Final Year of Project Spending	The last year that payments for project capital costs are made		
Total Capital Cost of the Project	The total capital cost of the project including direct labor costs, i.e. construction, installation, integration, testing, and commissioning.		
Interest Rate	The interest rate that would be paid on financing the total capital cost of the project.		

Table 7 Cost Calculation Input

Source: DOE (2011)

Input nominal cost schedule is calculated by amortizing total capital cost evenly over the period of the project according to the following equation:

$$A = P \frac{r(1+r)^{t}}{(1+r)^{t} - 1}^{9}$$

where A, P, r, t represents Yearly Amortize Cost, Total Capital Cost of the Project, Interest Rate, Total time (years) over which cost is amortized, respectively. Yearly nominal value is treated with additional discount factor such as

⁹ This equation's discount factor for the project starting year is 1.

$$d_t = (1 - r_d)^t$$

where d_t , r_d , t represents Discount factor in year t, Discount rate, Discount year, (year 0 correspond to the project starting year. Negative year values are used for expenditures that occur before the project starting year). Even if it is not explicitly noted, this discount rate may reflect the inflation rate so that it can treat the nominal value in terms of real one.

 DIM Step III: Enter Project Cost Data

 Devidention in this page the user can enter project out information will be used to complete a single ref.

 project cast area cast area cast area cast and cast cast and and information or account of data stated on infrasting area cast area

Following is the cost calculation section of DOE SGCT.

Figure 55 Cost Input in SGCT Macro

III.3.2 Present Valuation of Cost in Replicated Tool Kit

Current formulation of cost calculation is simple total cash flow calculation without any direct link to the implementation of technology specific investment. Replicated Tool kit can accommodate a new cost calculation module with its direct linkage to the technology specific investment and related variable cost to be handled separately for each technology.

A further discussion will be given in the next chapter for future revision of such representation of cost related cash flow calculation.

III.4 Expansion of Smart Grid Computational Tool

III.4.1 Overview

The main purpose of Smart Grid Computational Tool (SGCT) development is to assist the smart grid players on conducting the benefit and cost analysis of smart grid project based on the guidelines made by the EPRI. For this purpose, the SGCT is made focusing on:

- Defining the boundaries of a smart grid project, such as project period, area of implementation, technologies to be deployed, etc.
- Identification of the potential benefits from the project based on the relationship of assets, functions, mechanisms and benefits
- Quantification and monetization¹⁰ of the identified benefits
- Inputting the project costs
- The comparison and analysis of the costs and benefits of the project

In order to properly conduct the smart grid Benefit Cost Analysis, the SGCT is equipped with several mappings (assets to functions, functions to mechanisms, mechanisms to benefits), functions and forms to calculate the benefit calculations, some default parameters, project cost form, up to the results' visual presentation and some sensitivity analysis options.

In SGCT, most if not all benefit calculation is based on the avoided cost principle. Therefore, the user is required to define and estimate the baseline scenario for its smart grid project and derive the parameters needed to calculate the benefits. Since the Benefit Cost Analysis of a smart grid project is usually conducted for a certain time period to the future, the baseline scenario and its parameters for those years must be estimated, too. Then, to calculate the avoided costs (benefits) resulting from the smart grid project, the similar set of avoided cost parameters must be gathered and/or estimated, too. Then the comparison between the Baseline and Project costs is set as smart grid benefits.

Since the SGCT is more focused on the smart grid BCA itself, the users are needed to input many parameters exogenously. Unfortunately, not all parameters are easy to be gathered or estimated by the users. Sometimes, those parameters can only be provided through some calculation processes or simulation running utilizing other software/model.

One of the possible paths of the SGCT expansion is to make the users of the toolkit more comfortable in assessing the BCA of their smart grid projects. This might include the integration with other simple

¹⁰ The difference of quantification and monetization lie in the benefits units. Quantification gives a measurable quantity of the smart grid benefit; meanwhile monetization calculates the monetary value of the benefit. For example, the quantification of CO_2 emission reduction would show how many tons of CO_2 is reduced due to smart grid project. Then using the carbon price information, benefit is monetized. It must be noted, though, that quantification can also be done in terms of monetary value.

models to assist users on providing benefit calculation's parameters, more details in cost input form, and addition of qualitative analysis to make the output of the tool more comprehensive.

III.4.2 Smart Grid Scenario: Socioeconomics, Technical, and Regulatory Context

One of the main parts in conducting smart grid Benefit and Cost Analysis is the project scenario development. In his paper, Chardonnet and de Boissezon (2013) create several scenarios (or visions) that are built under two assumptions of socioeconomics context and three assumptions of smart grid technical and regulatory deployment. For the socioeconomics context, the two scenarios are based on *Grenelle de l'Environnement* and *NegaWatt* scenarios. Each scenario has its own parameters. The listed parameters are:

- GDP Growth Rate
- Population
- Fuel Prices
- Electricity retail tax rate
- CO₂ Emission Price
- Electric vehicles
- Nuclear energy in the electrical mix
- BBC standard share in buildings
- Power quality standard

On the other hand, the EPRI Report also mentions several 'escalation factor's that would affect the benefit parameters, which in turn affecting the Benefits and Costs Analysis. The escalation factors are:

Population

In the case of AMI application, the population would be important to determine the number of AMI operation and cost, etc.

• Load growth

The load growth would affect greatly the utilization of transmission and distribution related parameters, such as the need of voltage regulation devices, as well as the generation parameters such as storage needed, etc.

Inflation

The inflation is one of the main escalation factors that could affect the various cost values, such as emission, blackout, maintenance cost, etc.

• Energy price

Since the electricity generation needs various forms of energy, these prices would be important, especially for parameters like average generation cost.

The combination of those parameters could also contribute to the other parameters such annual generation cost and total electricity cost. Figure below shows the complete list of parameters that would be affected by the aforementioned escalation factors. In addition to those factors, there are also

several important parameters that must be inputted by the users such as the number of power consumer (could be derived from population) and price of electricity (could be part of energy price).

Escalation Factor	Inputs that are projected by escalation factor			
Population	Number of Meter Tamper Detections – Residential, Commercial, Industrial Number of Meter Reading Operations kWh of Electricity Consumed by PEVs			
Load Growth	Avoided Annual Generator Dispatch Energy Storage Use at Annual Peak Time Distributed Generation Use at Annual Peak Time PEV Use at Annual Peak Time Reserve Purchases Frequency Regulation Purchases Voltage Control Purchases Congestion Distribution Feeder Load Transmission Line Load			
Inflation	Capital Replacement of Failed Equipment Total Transmission Equipment Maintenance Cost Total Distribution Equipment Maintenance Cost Distribution Operations Cost Transmission Operations Cost Distribution Feeder Switching Operations Distribution Capacitor Switching Operations Other Distribution Operations Cost Meter Operations Cost Value of Service – Residential, Commercial, Industrial Distribution Restoration Cost Transmission Restoration Cost Restoration Cost per Event Value of Service - PQ Value of Service - Sags & Swells Value of CO2, SOx, NOx, PM-2.5			
Energy Price	Average Hourly Generation Cost Price of Capacity at Annual Peak Average Price of Reserves Average Price of Frequency Regulation Average Price of Voltage Control Average Price of Congestion Average Price of Wholesale Energy			
Energy Price & Load Growth	Annual Generation Cost Ancillary Services Cost Congestion Cost			
Energy Price & Population Growth	Total Electricity Cost – Residential, Commercial, Industria			

Figure 56 the Importance of Escalation Factors which Affects the Benefit Parameters in SGCT Source: Navigant Consulting, 2011

Combining the two cases above, the current SGCT can be expanded to allow better representation and utilization of the socioeconomics parameters listed. For example, the tool can be expanded to provide option of population percentage for defining the number of electric customers in residential,

commercial, and industrial sectors. It can also be expanded to as much consumer class as possible depending on the electric price structure.

Region	Population (%)	Load (%)	Inflation (%)	Energy Price (%)
NPCC	0.2	0.8	2.7	3.3
RFC	0.3	1.4	2.1	2.5
MRO	0.4	2.3	2.1	1.5
FRCC	2.0	2.6	2.9	2.5
SERC	0.9	2.2	2.4	1.8
SPP	0.4	1.8	2.1	1.4
TRE	1.6	2.2	2.3	3.9
WECC	1.3	1.6	2.4	2.2
ASCC	1.1	2.2	2.6	2.5
Н	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Empty	0	0	0	0

Table 8 Default Escalation Factors given in SGCT

In the scenario building, a solid definition of technology to be applied is another important factor in conducting smart grid benefit cost analysis. For the example of Chardonnet and de Boissezon (2013), the technology parameters include: penetration of distributed generation monitoring and control, active demand participation rate, storage capacity, dynamic pricing structures, distribution grid self-healing, and penetration of electric vehicle off peak load management.

Each technology above would have different parameters to be inputted by the users. The current SGCT can be expanded to reduce the confusion of the users by providing some potential parameters (probably with some default/example value). Also, the tool can help by guiding the users to provide the parameters using embedded models, which are explained next.

III.4.3 Load Curve Modelling

The load curve is an important parameter in the calculation of smart grid benefits. The reason for this is that a lot of smart grid benefits come from the load related avoided costs. For example, the smart grid can reduce the costly peak load. Then, to quantify this benefit, the users must know the load profile of the grid system in the baseline and after the project is conducted. It must be noted that the separate modelling of load curve can be seen as the expansion of the load growth escalation factor mentioned before.

It must be noted that the other Smart Grid BCA programs also put an emphasis on modelling the load curve of a power system. For example, the UK case of Frontier Economics utilizes a parametric network model called WinDebut developed by EA Technologies. Figure below shows how the BCA integrates the BCA (real options model) with the network model and generation model (to be discussed later) and the interactions between the models.



Figure 57 Frontier Economics and OFGEM Uses Parametric Network model to Do Load Curve Modelling Source: Frontier Economics (March 2011)

Another example is the Smart Grid Investment Model (SGIM) that utilizes member utility data such as historical billing data, historical 8760 system loads, weather data and other parameters to forecast the monthly kWh and hourly loads for the whole smart grid BCA period. Figure below shows the utilization of utility energy and hourly load models in SGIM.



Figure 58 Smart Grid Investment Model Utilizing Hourly Load Models for Load Curve Modelling Source: Jackson (2012)

Knowing the load pattern would enable the users to properly calculate the benefits parameter into the toolkit. It can also help them to visualize the concept of avoided cost as a form of smart grid benefit. A good example is showing the comparison of load curve in the baseline and project case so that the user can see the actual reduction (probably most change must happen in the peak load) and the value of this reduction (or the benefit).

The current SGCT should be developed further to be able to model the load curve integrally within the toolkit. Then the tool must be able to automate the parameters input of the BCA from the output of the load curve model. The modeling of the curve itself can range from a simple estimation from the current load curve, parametric network model, to a nodal network model. It must be noted that to do this, various parameters are still needed.

III.4.4 Generation Program Modelling

In the generation program modelling, the users should be able to determine the mix of electricity generation for the whole period of the project. This information is important for many parts of benefit calculation, such as the generation cost. As seen in the previous section, the UK case of Frontier Economics also utilizes the generation model integrally within their BCA. In the paper by Chardonnet and de Boissezon, the generation assets optimization software called EUROSTAG – SCANNER is used to do the computation. Another software that might do similar work is the WASP (Wien Automatic System Planning).

Other important benefit parameters that can be affected by the proper generation modelling is emission and electricity price. The different power generation mix would result in different emission. The policy available could also provide different scenario of the smart grid analysis. For example, the renewable policy could reduce the CO₂ emission even without the smart grid deployment. But on the other hand, the smart grid is needed to improve the quality of the transmission sector so that the intermittent renewable energy can be fully integrated into the power system.

The current SGCT can be expanded to include this generation mix modelling. Some of the important parameters could be the energy price forecast. Different energy forecast could result in different energy mix. A simple cost minimization program could be embedded into the current toolkit. Basically the points up to now are dealing with the creation of proper baseline scenario and parameters before even putting smart grid project scenario.

III.4.5 Integration with Qualitative Assessment

The current SGCT only focuses on the quantitative assessment of the smart grid project. Meanwhile, the qualitative assessment of project itself is not touched. The users are expected to do this kind of analysis separately from the BCA itself. Some models that can be used to analyse the qualitative aspect of the smart grid project is Smart Grid Maturity Model (SGMM) or other 'smartness' measurement. To comprehensively understand the smart grid project, both of these analyses must be conducted by the smart grid players.

Another approach to this duality problem is proposed by the European Commission Joint Research Centre (EC JRC). The JRC first take out the EPRI Methodology of smart grim BCA Assessment and modify it with its own benefits definition. To do so, they developed similar yet unique mapping from smart grid assets or technologies to the benefits through functionality. Then, they add the qualitative analysis that is the Key Performance Index (KPI) into the same BCA. This KPI is another product of JRC specifically designed to assess the performance of a smart grid. In other words, this is just yet another form of smartness measurement. Figure below shows the concept of overall assessment concept of JRC applied to smart metering roll-out project.



Figure 59 Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Appraisal to Provide Smart Grid Project's Overall Assessment Source: JRC (2012b)

Although the details of the smartness assessment of smart grid cannot be quantified directly, there are several ways to somehow show the level of smartness using some numbers. In the SGMM case, they

already developed a set of surveys (questionnaires) for the smart grid players who want to assess their own smart grid 'level'. With this, the same user can properly estimate its current position within 6 smart grid categories five possible levels. Then it can also project a desired level of improvement that must be achieved using the smart grid project.

Another option is to use some Key Performance Indexes or points that are deemed important for the Smart Grid project's target and assign some weighted values to them. In the case of JRC, they utilize the Merit Deployment Matrix, which can be visualized in the figure below.



Figure 60 An Example of Visualization of Merit Deployment Matrix

Source: JRC (2012b)

The current SGCT can be expanded in such a way so that the users can also do some quantitative analysis using the same toolkit as the quantitative assessment. The toolkit can integrate either the surveys type of analysis such as the case of SGMM or the Key Performance Index type of analysis such as the case of JRC. Since both types are actually quite similar, the toolkit can actually use the combination of both methods. Although for this case to be realized, more research still needs to be done.

III.4.6 Detailed Cost Representation

The cost representation in the current SCCT is a bit too simplified. The good thing is the users only need to input the overall project cost and the discount rate to calculate the NPV of the costs during the whole project period. But the downside is that the users need to do the actual calculation of smart grid project cost outside of the toolkit. This was probably done originally due to the possible difficulty on putting the complicated cost calculation in the macro form. But utilizing the new version of the toolkit that is developed using C++ (Object Oriented Programming) the detailed process of cost calculation can be integrated in the toolkit.

There are many costs that can be attributed to smart grid project. Below is the potential list of costs from one of the smart metering roll-out cases in Europe.

General category	Type of cost to be tracked for roll-out and to be estimated for the baseline		
	Investment in the smart metering system		
	Investment in IT		
	Investment in communications		
	Investment in in-home displays (if applicable)		
CAPEX	Generation		
	Transmission		
	Distribution		
	Avoided investment in conventional meters (negative cost, to be added to the list of benefits)		
	IT maintenance costs		
	Network management and front-end costs		
	Communication/data transfer costs (inc. GPRS, Radio Communications, etc)		
	Scenario management costs		
	Replacement/failure of smart metering systems (incremental)		
OPEX	Revenue reductions (e.g. through more efficient consumption)		
OFEX	Generation		
	Distribution		
	Transmission		
	Meter reading		
	Call centre/customer care		
	Training costs (e.g. customer care personnel and installation personnel)		
Reliability	Restoration costs		
Environmental	Emission costs (CO ₂ control equipment, operation and emission permits)		
Energy security	Cost of fossil fuels consumed to generate power		
chergy security	Cost of fossil fuels for transportation and operation		
Other	Sunk costs of previously installed (traditional) meters		

Table 9 Some Potential Costs in Smart Grid Project

Source: JRC (2012b)

The current SGCT can be expanded to expand the cost input form so that it can fully model and calculate the complete calculation of smart grid project costs. As shown in the figure above, the overall cost of smart grid project can be divided into several categories: capital cost, operation and maintenance cost, reliability cost, environmental cost, energy security cost, and other cost.

References

Adamec, M., Pavlatka P., Stary O., Costs and Benefits of Smart Grids and Accumulation in Czech Distribution System, Energy Procedia 12 (2011) 67 – 75

BEAMA, 2013, Smart Grid for SMEs – developing a strong UK supply chain, 8th October 10-11 Carlton House Terrace, presented at Smart Grid Forum meeting 22nd October 2013

CE Delft and KEMA, 2012, The Social Costs and Benefits of Smart Grid, English Summary

Chardonnet, C. and de Boissezon, B., 2013, GDF SUEZ - GreenLys WP2: Estimating the Benefits and Costs of a Smart Electrical System in French urban areas in 2020-2030, Power Tech (POWERTECH), 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 16-20 June 2013

Cody, Eric, Smart Grid Brings Load Research to Kaua'i Island Utility Cooperative, CRN, 2014a

Cody, Eric, Conservation Voltage Reduction in the Smart Grid Era: Electric Co-ops Measure the Value, CRN, 2014b

Cody, Eric, Harnessing the Information Flood: a Multi-Cooperative Approach to meter Data Management, CRN, 2014c

Cody, Eric, Electric Co-ops Launch Prepayment Programs Using Smart Grid, CRN, 2014d

Cody, Eric, Self-Healing System: Electric co-ops Explore the Value of Smart Feeder Switching, CRN, 2014e

Cody, Eric, Telecommunications: the Linchpin for Smart Grid Success, CRN, 2014f

DECC, 2011, The Carbon Plan: Delivering our low carbon future-Presented to Parliament pursuant to Sections 12 and 14 of the Climate Change Act 2008, Amended 2nd December 2011 from the version laid before Parliament on 1st December 2011, December 2011

Delfanti, Maurizio, and M. de Nigris, ANNEX 3: BENEFIT & COST ANALYSES AND TOOLKITS, Presentation for ExCO8, Montreal, Canada, Oct.2nd, 2014

DOE, USER GUIDE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SMART GRID COMPUTATIONAL TOOL (SGCT), Guide for SGCT Version 2.1 Prepared for: Department of Energy Navigant Consulting, Inc. www.navigant.com, August 2011

Dupont, B., Meeus, L., and R. Belmans, Measuring the "Smartness" of the Electricity Grid, IEEE-Publication, 6p, 2010

Energinet.dk and Danish Energy Association, 2010, Smart Grid in Denmark

ENI (Energy Needs Ireland), 2013, Cost Benefit Analysis for a full and immediate Smart Grid rollout in Ireland

ENSG, 2009, Our Electricity Transmission Network: A Vision for 2020, November 2009

ENSG, 2010a, Electricity Networks Strategy Group - A Smart Grid Routemap, February 2010

ENSG, 2010b, Our Electricity Transmission Network: A Vision for 2020, February 2010

EPRI (Electric Power Research Institute), 2004, *Power Delivery System of the Future: A Preliminary Estimate of Costs and Benefits*, Palo Alto, CA: 1011001

EPRI, 2010, *Methodological Approach for Estimating the Benefits and Costs of Smart Grid Demonstration Projects*, Final Report, Palo Alto, CA: January 2010. 1020342

EPRI, 2011a, Estimating the Costs and Benefits of the Smart Grid: A Preliminary Estimate of the Investment Requirements and the Resultant Benefits of a Fully Functioning Smart Grid, Palo Alto, CA: March 2011.1022519

EPRI, 2011b, Guidebook for Cost/Benefit Analysis of Smart Grid Demonstration Projects: Volume 1 Measuring Impacts, Palo Alto, CA: May 2011.1021423

EPRI, 2012, Guidebook for Cost/Benefit Analysis of Smart Grid Demonstration Projects: Revision 1, Measuring Impacts and Monetizing Benefits, Palo Alto, CA: December 2012.1025734

Ernst&Young, 2012a, Cost-Benefit analysis of the Roll-out of Smart Electricity Metering Grid in Lithuania

Ernst & Young, 2012b, Smart Grid: a race worth winning? A report on the economic benefits of smart grid, April 2012

Eurelectric, 2012, *The Smartness Barometer—How to quantify smart grid projects and interpret results:* A EURELECTRIC paper, February 2012

FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission), 2006, Assessment of Demand Response & Advanced Metering, Staff Report, February 2006

Frontier Economics, 2011a, *How To Deliver Smarter Grids in GB - A Report Prepared For The Smart Grids Forum*, March 2011

Frontier Economics, 2011b, Smart grid evaluation: Outline for the SGF, 20 October 2011

Frontier Economics, 2011c, A Framework For The Evaluation Of Smart Grids: A Consultation Document Prepared For Ofgem, November 2011

FSC (Freeman Sullivan & Co), 2008, Benefit-Cost Analysis for Advanced Metering and Time-Based Pricing, January 2008

Gamma, E., et al, 1994, *Design Patterns: Elements of reusable object oriented software*, Addison-Wesley: 1994

Giordano, V. and Bossart, S., 2012, Assessing Smart Grid Benefits and Impacts: Joint Report EC JRC--US DOE, EC JRC and US DOE

IEE, 2011, The Costs and Benefits of Smart Meters for Residential Customers, IEE Whitepaper, Institute for Electric Efficiency July 2011

Jackson, J., 2011, Score your smart grid IQ (Investment Quotient): Evaluate your utility's investment planning process, August 2011

Jackson, J., 2012, Smart Grid Investment Model and Application Summary, September 2012

JRC (Joint Research Center), 2012a, Smart Grid projects in Europe: lessons learned and current developments: 2012 Update, JRC Reference Reports

JRC, 2012b, *Guidelines for cost-benefit analysis of smart metering deployment*, Joint Research Center, Institute for Energy and Transport, 2012

JRC, 2012c, Guidelines for conducting a cost-benefit analysis of Smart Grid projects, JRC Reference Reports

Kim, Suduk, Eunju Min, Jayeo Ku, Zulfikar Yurnaidi, Minho Baek, Benefit & Cost Analysis and Toolkits, ISGAN (International Smart Grid Action Network) Annex 3, V.2, March 2014

Kim, Suduk, Eunju Min, Jayeo Ku, Zulfikar Yurnaidi, Minho Baek, Benefit & Cost Analysis and Toolkits, ISGAN (International Smart Grid Action Network) Annex 3, V.1, March 2013

Lovas Tom, AMI-Based Load Research-KIUC Demonstration, NRECA, CRN, 2014

Martin Maurice, COMMUNICATIONS: The Smart Grid's Enabling Technology, NRECA, CRN, 2014

Navigant Consulting, 2011, User Guide for the U.S. Department of Energy Smart Grid Computational Tool (SGCT), prepared for: Department of Energy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 2011

Neenan B., 2009, *EPRI Smart Grid Advisory Meeting, Smart Grid Demonstration Cost/Benefit Analysis*, presented at EPRI Smart Grid Advisory Meeting, Albuquerque, New Mexico, October 14, 2009

New York State Smart Grid Consortium, 2010, Smart Grid, Smart Grid Roadmap the State of New York, September 2010

Nigris, M., 2012, *Annex 3: Benefit Cost Analyses and Toolkits*, presented in the ISGAN 4th Executive Committee Meeting, September 27, 2012

NRCEA and CRN, Costs and Benefits of Conservation Voltage Reduction: CVR Warrants Careful Examination, NRECA-DOE SMART GRID DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, IDE-OE0000222, Nov. 2013

Pinney David, Costs and Benefits of Conservation Voltage Reduction, NRECA, CRN, 2014a

Pinney David, Cost and Benefits of Smart Feeder Switching, NRECA, CRN, 2014b

SGCC (Smart Grid Consumer Collaborative), 2013, Smart Grid Economic and Environmental Benefits: A Review and Synthesis of Research on Smart Grid Benefits and Costs, October 2013

SGF (Smart Grid Forum), 2011, DECC/Ofgem Smart Grid Forum Draft Terms of Reference, 1 May 2011

SGRC (Smart Grid Research Consortium), *Smart Grid Investment Model: Stand Alone Software Package*, Brochure

Sloboda Brian, Conservation impact of Prepaid Metering, NRECA, CRN, 2014

US Department of Energy, 2013, *Economic Impact of Recovery Act Investments in the Smart Grid*, Office of Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability: April 2013

Walker George, Multi-Tenant Meter Data Management, NRECA, CRN, 2014

Appendix: Default Values for DOE Smart Grid Computational Tool

				-		-		-	-		-		-					-	-	-		-	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	91.6	87.1	72.4	73.0	72.4	73.2	74.4	77.3	77.5	77.6	80.9	83.3	84.2	83.2	83.3	84.7	85.9	88.9	91.0	92.7	94.5	95.6	96.2
RFC	69.0	67.0	58.6	58.3	57.7	58.1	58.5	59.1	59.9	60.4	61.4	62.7	63.0	63.2	64.0	65.2	66.5	68.4	70.1	71.9	73.3	73.6	75.0
MRO	38.2	39.1	39.8	38.7	38.8	38.9	38.8	38.1	37.5	37.2	36.9	36.7	36.4	35.9	35.8	35.8	35.8	35.4	35.1	35.2	35.6	36.6	37.8
FRCC	87.5	91.6	80.0	83.2	85.3	85.4	85.4	85.8	86.1	86.0	86.6	88.4	90.7	90.7	90.6	90.8	91.6	92.9	94.7	96.8	98.0	99.0	99.6
SERC	56.7	57.4	54.0	53.3	52.6	52.1	51.5	50.9	51.0	51.2	51.6	51.6	51.7	51.7	52.1	52.5	53.6	54.8	56.1	57.5	58.4	59.3	60.0
SPP	56.9	60.0	54.5	55.8	53.5	53.7	53.7	53.7	54.5	54.9	55.4	56.0	56.0	55.6	55.8	56.4	57.5	58.9	60.0	61.5	62.4	63.3	64.1
TRE	76.7	74.0	62.2	62.0	61.5	64.0	64.9	64.9	66.4	69.6	71.8	75.4	77.9	78.4	79.5	80.7	81.9	84.6	88.0	91.5	93.7	94.6	95.5
WECC	63.2	64.4	59.8	57.7	55.5	54.2	53.4	53.3	53.9	55.2	55.9	56.7	56.7	56.2	56.0	58.1	59.4	60.7	62.4	63.8	65.0	66.3	67.2
ASCC	63.2	64.4	59.8	57.7	55.5	54.2	53.4	53.3	53.9	55.2	55.9	56.7	56.7	56.2	56.0	58.1	59.4	60.7	62.4	63.8	65.0	66.3	67.2
HI	63.2	64.4	59.8	57.7	55.5	54.2	53.4	53.3	53.9	55.2	55.9	56.7	56.7	56.2	56.0	58.1	59.4	60.7	62.4	63.8	65.0	66.3	67.2

Table 10 Average Hourly Generation Cost

Table 11 Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (1)

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
NPCC	46,829	46,829	50,144	39,137	29,167	35,958	50,224	63,772	63,466	63,136	62,831	64,199
RFC	40,150	40,150	40,150	40,150	39,194	50,795	64,377	66,021	70,702	75,091	79,833	84,813
MRO	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
FRCC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
SERC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
SPP	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
TRE	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
WECC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
ASCC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
н	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	76,909	89,004	100,504	100,478	100,472	100,484	100,510	100,475	100,454	100,513	100,509
RFC	96,727	102,203	110,401	114,992	114,133	105,800	105,515	109,794	114,412	119,436	124,817
MRO	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
FRCC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
SERC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
SPP	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
TRE	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
WECC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
ASCC	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700
HI	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700	95,700

 Table 12 Price of Capacity at Annual Peak (2)

Table 13 Average Price of Reserves

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
RFC	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3
MRO	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
FRCC	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
SERC	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
SPP	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
TRE	12.8	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7
WECC	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
ASCC	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
HI	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3

										0													
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	33.4	33.4	34.1	34.8	35.5	35.6	35.7	35.7	35.8	35.8	35.8	35.9	35.9	35.9	36.0	36.0	36.1	36.2	36.2	36.3	36.3	36.4	36.5
RFC	36.9	40.2	40.2	40.6	41.0	41.1	41.2	41.2	41.3	41.4	41.5	41.6	41.7	41.8	41.9	41.9	42.0	42.1	42.1	42.2	42.2	42.3	42.3
MRO	26.1	28.5	28.5	28.8	29.0	29.1	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.0
FRCC	26.1	28.5	28.5	28.8	29.0	29.1	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.0
SERC	26.1	28.5	28.5	28.8	29.0	29.1	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.0
SPP	26.1	28.5	28.5	28.8	29.0	29.1	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.0
TRE	14.9	16.2	16.2	16.4	16.5	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.7	16.7	16.8	16.8	16.8	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.1	17.1
WECC	19.3	21.1	21.1	21.3	21.5	21.5	21.6	21.6	21.7	21.7	21.8	21.8	21.9	21.9	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.2	22.2
ASCC	26.1	28.5	28.5	28.8	29.0	29.1	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.0
HI	26.1	28.5	28.5	28.8	29.0	29.1	29.1	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.4	29.5	29.5	29.6	29.7	29.7	29.7	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.9	29.9	30.0

Table 14 Average Price of Frequency Regulation

 Table 15 Average Price of Voltage Control (1)

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
NPCC	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
RFC	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
MRO	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
FRCC	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
SERC	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
SPP	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
TRE	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
WECC	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
ASCC	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8
н	2,187.5	2,220.3	2,253.6	2,287.4	2,321.7	2,356.6	2,391.9	2,427.8	2,464.2	2,501.2	2,538.7	2,576.8

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
RFC	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
MRO	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
FRCC	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
SERC	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
SPP	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
TRE	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
WECC	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
ASCC	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3
HI	2,615.4	2,654.6	2,694.5	2,734.9	2,775.9	2,817.5	2,859.8	2,902.7	2,946.2	2,990.4	3,035.3

 Table 16 Average Price of Voltage Control (2)

Table 17 Average Price of Congestion

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
RFC	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6
MRO	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2	13.2
FRCC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SERC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SPP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TRE	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
WECC	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
ASCC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
н	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15
RFC	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11
MRO	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06
FRCC	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15
SERC	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09
SPP	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10
TRE	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14
WECC	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10
ASCC	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10
HI	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10

Table 18 Average Price of Wholesale Energy

	Residential	Commercial	Industrial
NPCC	2.20	282.00	15.30
RFC	2.20	282.00	15.30
MRO	2.20	282.00	15.30
FRCC	2.20	282.00	15.30
SERC	2.20	282.00	15.30
SPP	2.20	282.00	15.30
TRE	2.20	282.00	15.30
WECC	2.20	282.00	15.30
ASCC	2.20	282.00	15.30
н	2.20	282.00	15.30
Empty	2.20	282.00	15.30

Table 10 Inflation Eactor

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
NPCC	3,000.0	3,081.0	3,081.0	3,164.2	3,164.2	3,249.6	3,249.6	3,337.4	3,337.4	3,427.5	3,427.5	3,520.0
RFC	3,000.0	3,063.0	3,063.0	3,127.3	3,127.3	3,193.0	3,193.0	3,260.0	3,260.0	3,328.5	3,328.5	3,398.4
MRO	3,000.0	3,063.0	3,063.0	3,127.3	3,127.3	3,193.0	3,193.0	3,260.0	3,260.0	3,328.5	3,328.5	3,398.4
FRCC	3,000.0	3,087.0	3,087.0	3,176.5	3,176.5	3,268.6	3,268.6	3,363.4	3,363.4	3,461.0	3,461.0	3,561.3
SERC	3,000.0	3,072.0	3,072.0	3,145.7	3,145.7	3,221.2	3,221.2	3,298.5	3,298.5	3,377.7	3,377.7	3,458.8
SPP	3,000.0	3,063.0	3,063.0	3,127.3	3,127.3	3,193.0	3,193.0	3,260.0	3,260.0	3,328.5	3,328.5	3,398.4
TRE	3,000.0	3,069.0	3,069.0	3,139.6	3,139.6	3,211.8	3,211.8	3,285.7	3,285.7	3,361.2	3,361.2	3,438.5
WECC	3,000.0	3,072.0	3,072.0	3,145.7	3,145.7	3,221.2	3,221.2	3,298.5	3,298.5	3,377.7	3,377.7	3,458.8
ASCC	3,000.0	3,078.0	3,078.0	3,158.0	3,158.0	3,240.1	3,240.1	3,324.4	3,324.4	3,410.8	3,410.8	3,499.5
н	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0

 Table 20 Restoration Cost per Event (1)

Table 21 Restoration Cost per Event (2)

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	3,520.0	3,615.1	3,615.1	3,712.7	3,712.7	3,812.9	3,812.9	3,915.8	3,915.8	4,021.6	4,021.6
RFC	3,398.4	3,469.8	3,469.8	3,542.6	3,542.6	3,617.0	3,617.0	3,693.0	3,693.0	3,770.5	3,770.5
MRO	3,398.4	3,469.8	3,469.8	3,542.6	3,542.6	3,617.0	3,617.0	3,693.0	3,693.0	3,770.5	3,770.5
FRCC	3,561.3	3,664.6	3,664.6	3,770.9	3,770.9	3,880.2	3,880.2	3,992.8	3,992.8	4,108.6	4,108.6
SERC	3,458.8	3,541.8	3,541.8	3,626.8	3,626.8	3,713.8	3,713.8	3,803.0	3,803.0	3,894.2	3,894.2
SPP	3,398.4	3,469.8	3,469.8	3,542.6	3,542.6	3,617.0	3,617.0	3,693.0	3,693.0	3,770.5	3,770.5
TRE	3,438.5	3,517.6	3,517.6	3,598.5	3,598.5	3,681.3	3,681.3	3,766.0	3,766.0	3,852.6	3,852.6
WECC	3,458.8	3,541.8	3,541.8	3,626.8	3,626.8	3,713.8	3,713.8	3,803.0	3,803.0	3,894.2	3,894.2
ASCC	3,499.5	3,590.5	3,590.5	3,683.8	3,683.8	3,779.6	3,779.6	3,877.9	3,877.9	3,978.7	3,978.7
н	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0	3,000.0

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
RFC	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
MRO	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
FRCC	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
SERC	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
SPP	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
TRE	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
WECC	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
ASCC	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
н	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3
Empty	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3

 Table 22 Average Fuel Efficiency for Truck Roll Vehicle

Table 23 CO2 Emissions per Gallon of Fuel

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021-'30
NPCC	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
RFC	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
MRO	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
FRCC	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
SERC	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
SPP	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
TRE	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
WECC	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
ASCC	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
HI	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null
Empty	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	0.0097	null

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
RFC	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
MRO	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
FRCC	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
SERC	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
SPP	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
TRE	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
WECC	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
ASCC	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
н	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4
Empty	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.4	20.8	21.2	21.6	22.1	22.5	23.0	23.4	23.9	24.4

Table 24 Value of CO2

Table 25 SOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021-'30
NPCC	2.2E-07	null												
RFC	2.2E-07	null												
MRO	2.2E-07	null												
FRCC	2.2E-07	null												
SERC	2.2E-07	null												
SPP	2.2E-07	null												
TRE	2.2E-07	null												
WECC	2.2E-07	null												
ASCC	2.2E-07	null												
н	2.2E-07	null												
Empty	2.2E-07	null												

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
NPCC	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
RFC	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
MRO	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
FRCC	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
SERC	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
SPP	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
TRE	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
WECC	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
ASCC	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
н	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null
Empty	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	null

Table 26 NOx Emissions per Gallon of Gas

Table 27 Value of SOx

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
RFC	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
MRO	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
FRCC	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
SERC	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
SPP	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
TRE	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
WECC	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
ASCC	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
н	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640
Empty	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	531	542	553	565	577	589	601	614	627	640

				10	Die 20 value	er nex					
	2008-'12	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
RFC	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
MRO	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
FRCC	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
SERC	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
SPP	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
TRE	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
WECC	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
ASCC	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
HI	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693
Empty	3000	3063.0	3127	3193	3260	3329	3398	3470	3543	3617	3693

Table 28 Value of NOx

Table 29 Value of PM-2.5

	2008-'20	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
NPCC	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
RFC	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
MRO	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
FRCC	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
SERC	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
SPP	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
TRE	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
WECC	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
ASCC	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
HI	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9
Empty	36000	36756.0	37527.9	38316.0	39120.6	39942.1	40780.9	41637.3	42511.7	43404.4	44315.9

	Feeder Service Vehicle	Diagnosis/Notification Service Vehicle	Real Time Load Measurement/Management Service Vehicle
NPCC	20.3	20.3	20.3
RFC	20.3	20.3	20.3
MRO	20.3	20.3	20.3
FRCC	20.3	20.3	20.3
SERC	20.3	20.3	20.3
SPP	20.3	20.3	20.3
TRE	20.3	20.3	20.3
WECC	20.3	20.3	20.3
ASCC	20.3	20.3	20.3
HI	20.3	20.3	20.3
Empty	20.3	20.3	20.3

Table 30 Average Fuel Efficiency

Table 31 Electricity to Fuel Conversion Factor

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021-'30
NPCC	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
RFC	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
MRO	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
FRCC	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
SERC	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
SPP	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
TRE	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
WECC	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
ASCC	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
Н	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null
Empty	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	null